

17

by Maison Maison

Submission date: 20-Jul-2020 10:41PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1359988023

File name: ysis_of_science_process_skills_in_physics_education_students.pdf (233.86K)

Word count: 4853

Character count: 25938

ANALYSIS OF SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS IN PHYSICS EDUCATION STUDENTS

Maison

Universitas Jambi

20 *Darmaji*

Universitas Jambi

Dwi Agus Kurniawan

Universitas Jambi

Astalini

Universitas Jambi

Utari Prisma Dewi

Universitas Jambi

Lia Kartina

Universitas Jambi


5 Abstract


This study aims to analyze students' science process skills on specific heat material by reviewing two aspects of basic science process skills indicators (observation and classification), and two indicators of integrated science process skills (identifying variables and making hypotheses). This research uses a descriptive quantitative method. In this study, the sample used was 35 students of physics education of batch 2018 who were randomly selected. The assessment instrument used was the science process skills observation sheet with the skill score used in the form of a Likert scale. The results of the study show that the students' mastery of basic science process skills on the observation indicator is 65% in the good category, 30% in the high category, and 10% in the category of not good to low, whereas, the classification indicators obtained are 54.3% and 37.1% of students have mastered classification skills in both good and high categories. The remaining 8.6% are classified in the not good category. For the mastery of integrated science process skills in the variable identification indicator, 60% of them are in the good category and 14.3% in the high category. The rest are in the category of not good and low. For the indicators of skills in making hypotheses, 65.7% and 14.3% are in the good and high categories. It proves that physics education students have mastery of science process skills that are in the good category.

Keywords: *education, science process skills, prospective teachers*

13
Permalink/DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21831/jpep.v23i2.28123>

Contact: *Lia Kartina*

 liakartina98@gmail.com

 Department of Physics Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Jambi
Kampus Pinang Masak, Jl. Raya Jambi – Muara Bulian Km. 15, Mendalo Darat, Jambi 36361, Indonesia

Introduction

Education, as a conscious effort to realize fully Indonesian people, namely, people who believe and have faith in God the Almighty, are noble, healthy, knowledgeable, capable, creative, independent, responsible, and become citizens who are democratic, is a process of improving the lives of Indonesian people (Kurniawan, Astalini, & Anggraini, 2018, p. 125). Education is a conscious and planned effort to create an atmosphere of learning and learning process so that students actively develop their potential.

Education in Indonesia has been well-integrated and needs further development. Continuing education should be closely related to the curriculum used. The curriculum has two aspects, namely as a plan that must be used as a guideline for the implementation of the teaching and learning process, and as a tool to achieve educational goals (Astalini, Darmaji, Kurniawan, & Destianti, 2019, p. 3). As a process, education must be done in stages starting from basic education to higher education. Each level of education has different characteristics in the knowledge learned, in accordance with the development of students themselves, for instance, high school students will not be the same as elementary and junior high school students (Astalini, Kurniawan, & Sumaryanti, 2018, p. 59). The frequent change of curriculum in schools is due to the fact that it is not appropriate or the learning application is not according to the curriculum. The current curriculum used is the 2013 revised curriculum, so that students are expected to have a scientific attitude in learning (Astalini, Kurniawan, Melsayanti, & Destianti, 2018, p. 216).

In accordance with the 2013 curriculum, high school students have been set on future career choices. It is shown by the selection of majors, namely, Mathematics and Natural Sciences or Social Sciences. The selection of these majors is in accordance with the abilities seen from the interests and talents of the students. In the Mathematics and Natural Sciences major, they will receive

lessons focusing on Physics, Biology, and Chemistry (Reza, Syukur, & Soeleman, 2017, p. 57). Usually, the selection of these majors also determines the selection of study programs in tertiary institutions.

Physics is usually always a difficult subject for students so that not many people are interested in taking courses related to physics. Many factors make physics difficult for students. The most frequently-occur factor is that the students must memorize the whole formula given by the teacher, which is a conventional way of learning (Astalini, Kurniawan, & Sumaryanti, 2018, p. 59). One third of students carry it over until they study in higher education (Astalini, Kurniawan, Perdana, & Kurniasari, 2018, p. 475). The method of memorizing learning is the lowest level of the learning sphere raised by Bloom, known as Bloom's Taxonomy. Memorizing is in the lowest realm of knowledge (Netriwati, 2018, p. 349). In fact, the curriculum of all countries is based on Bloom's taxonomy.

The domains discussed in this knowledge are six levels, namely C1 to C6, from the lowest, that is, knowing to create works, while the memorization part is only in the first cognitive domain or C1 (Prasetya, 2012, p. 108). Higher education certainly leads to how theory is obtained. Thus, the physics education study program is required in the field of natural sciences to carry out practical activities which refer to the Indonesian National Qualification Framework (Darmaji, Kurniawan, Parasdila, & Irdianti, 2018b, p. 346). One of the references to be achieved is skills that are specialized in process skills (Darmaji, Kurniawan, & Suryani, 2019, p. 2). Skills also include behavior, which is an important process of one's self. Process skills are the skills of students to carry out activities related to practice, where students are required to experience themselves and discover and connect the results of practicum with theory to write the formula mathematically (Lestari & Diana, 2018, p. 49). Direct student involvement will make it easier to understand the concepts of the theory being studied.

When choosing majors related to natural science, especially physics, students must take part in practical activities. Practicum activities will help students understand the courses taken better. Basic physics is one of the compulsory subjects for physics education students (Septiyanto & Darman, 2018, p. 14). In practicum activities to provide process skills for observational indicators, it must be ensured that students already have information and knowledge about the material to be practiced (Supahar & Prasetyo, 2015, p. 98) through the practicum guides. The material in two basic physics courses includes metal heat. Metal heat cannot be taught only by giving the material in class. It also has to go through experimental activities in the laboratory (Nugroho & Suliyanah, 2018, p. 355). By conducting experiments in the laboratory, students' scientific processes can be improved.

Practicum activities that prioritize process skills are also indicated in 21st-century education, where the practicum will provide a place for students to analyze and evaluate with a critical mind of the results of the experiment (Pop, 2014, p. 1). The 2013 curriculum certainly has a striking difference compared to the curriculum that has been previously set (Setiadi, 2016, p. 167), where the core competencies are classified into four, namely social attitudes, spiritual attitudes, knowledge, and skills. 21st Century education is an education that guarantees students to be able to improve their soft skills (Andrian & Rusman, 2019, p. 15). The 2013 curriculum has been integrated with 21st-century education wherein the 2013 curriculum, there is a scientific approach (Bancong & Putra, 2015, p. 28). The scientific approach is the *5M* approach, namely: observing (*mengamati*), asking (*menanyakan*), gathering information or data (*mengumpulkan informasi atau data*), associating (*mengasosiasi*), and also communicating (*mengomunikasikan*) (Wahyuni, Indrawati, Sudarti, & Suana, 2017, p. 166). This scientific approach is a very natural approach in the learning process.

At these demands, students must have science process skills. Science process

skills are a skill that provides a means in science learning, research, and active learning, building a sense of responsibility when learning and increasing knowledge (Darmaji, Kurniawan, & Irdianti, 2019). Science process skills include: asking questions, observing, predicting, and simulating (Lestari & Suliyanah, 2014, p. 61). Those elements will increase the professionalism of students as future teacher candidates.

As future teacher candidates, it is necessary to analyze how the science process skills possessed by physics education students (Siswono, 2017, p. 84). By direct observation in practicum activities undertaken, especially as a prospective physics teacher or natural science teacher, he/she must have scientific process skills.

In the process skills, two scopes can be known, namely basic process skills and integrated process skills (Khaerunnisa, 2017, p. 342). Based on research conducted by Darmaji, Kurniawan, Parasdila, and Irdianti (2018a, p. 497), the science process skills of students in University of Jambi are good enough for the 2017 class.

Based on the aforementioned explanation, the researchers tried to analyze the process skills in the 2018 physics education students of University of Jambi, especially in the practicum method. What will be seen are indicators of students' process skills for the basic skills of the indicators observed and classification and skills, while the integrated indicator is the identification of variables and creating hypotheses. Good science process skills can illustrate the students' knowledge (Srirahayu & Arty, 2018, p. 169). Through good science process skills, students certainly have a strong motivation to learn and have critical thinking skills seen from the classification indicators and making hypotheses.

28

Research Method

This research uses a descriptive quantitative research method. Quantitative methods are a numerical method of research. This type of research usually connects the attachment between variables (Creswell, 2014, p. 288). The purpose of this study is to know

the mastery of science process skills, especially on basic process skills indicators (observation and classification) and integrity skills indicators (variable identification and hypothesis). This study involved 35 students of the Physics Education Study program of batch 2018 in Jambi, which was currently taking the Primary Physics Course 2.

Data retrieval was conducted with an electronic-based observation score sheet. This observation sheet refers to the value of the Linkert scale which employs the highest Strongswan 4 (Astalini, Darmaji, Kurniawan, Anwar, & Kurniawan, 2019, p. 25). The selection of scale four is intended to adjust the criteria desired by the researchers, namely: (1) low; (2) not good; (3) good; and (4) high. To find out the mastery of science process skills of students who have known the minimum score (X_{min}) and the maximum score (X_{max}), then the ideal average score can be found by using the formula $M_i = 1/2 (X_{max} + X_{min})$ and looking for ideal standard deviation using the formula $SD_i = 1/6 (X_{max} - X_{min})$. Based on these references, the ideal mean is 2.5 and the

standard deviation is 0.5. Thus, students' mastery of science process skills can be grouped into four categories as presented in Table 1.

The data of students' science process skills were analyzed using the statistic descriptive method so that the score of the mean, median, mode, minimum score, maximum score, deviation standard, and percentage, which is viewed from the assessment indicator, results in the sheet observation of the science process skills (Darmaji, Kurniawan, Astalini, Lumbantoruan, & Samosir, 2019, p. 11). Then the data were presented in the table of the distribution.

Findings and Discussion

After analyzing the assessment sheet, the results of mastery of basic science process skills and students' integrated science process skills were found. The results of the mastery analysis of the students' basic science processes on observation indicators and classification can be seen in Table 2.

Table 1. Level of the Score of Process Skills

Score Interval Formula	Score Interval	Categories
$X > M + 1.5 SD$	$X > 3.25$	High
$M + 0.5 SD < X \leq M + 1.5 SD$	$2.75 < X \leq 3.25$	Not Good
$M - 1.5 SD < X \leq M + 0.5 SD$	$3.25 < X \leq 2.75$	Good
$X \leq M + 0.5 SD$	$X \leq 2.75$	Low

(Widoyoko, 2011, p. 238)

Table 2. Analysis of the Students' Science Prozesse Skills on Observation and Classification Indicators

Indicator	Classification			Total	Mean	Min	Max	Std. Deviation	%
	Score Interval	Category							
Observation	$X > 3.25$	High		12	3.34	3.00	4.00	0.48	34.3
	$2.75 < X \leq 3.25$	Good		23					65.7
	$3.25 < X \leq 2.75$	Not Good		0					0
	$X \leq 2.75$	Low		0					0
	Total			35					100
Classification	$X > 3.25$	High		13	3.29	2.00	4.00	0.62	37.1
	$2.75 < X \leq 3.25$	Good		19					54.3
	$3.25 < X \leq 2.75$	Not Good		3					8.6
	$X \leq 2.75$	Low		0					0
	Total			35					100

Based on Table 2, it can be seen that from the mastery of science process skills of 35 students in the observation indicator, 23 students are in the good category (65.7%) and 12 students are in the high category (34.4%). Besides, a mean of 3.34, a minimum score of 3.00, a maximum score of 4.00, and a deviation standard of 0.48 are obtained. Meanwhile, in the classification skills indicator, 19 students are in the good category (54.3%), 13 students are in the high category (37.1%), and three students are in the not good category (8.6%). A mean of 3.29, a minimum score of 2.00, a maximum score of 4.00, and a deviation standard of 0.62 are obtained.

Based on the data, 23 students have a basic science process on the observation indicator that is already at a good level, while the other 12 people have skills of observation science process at an excellent level. Basically, observation is the first step of an activity, so it must be strengthened at the beginning (Darmaji, Kurniawan, Suryani, & Lestari, 2018, p. 242). The aforementioned results show that the level of the process skills observation skill for observation indicator is well-owned by the physics education students at the University of Jambi.

Furthermore, in the classification indicator, three physics education students are still in the category of not good (8.6%). Then, 19 students have science process skills for the good category (54.3%), while the rest 13 students have excellent science process

skills (37.1%). Thus, physics education students at the University of Jambi have mastered the science process skills in the indicator of classification well. Classification is part of the basic science process skills, a second indicator that must be strengthened by the students of physics education of Jambi University after observation skill (Puspita, 2016, p. 31). Classification is also an important thing to do before experimenting. When students are able to classify according to the order or practical measures, it proves that the students are able to distinguish which ones to measure and which ones to weigh.

The information in Table 2 indicates that the physics education students of University of Jambi have a good mastery of basic science process skills. However, students are more proficient in the basic science process skills on the observation indicator with the largest percentage of 65.7%.

Having basic skills is also necessary to know the mastery of the integrated science process skills, where integrated process skills are the enhancement skills of the basic skills in which the indicators are interconnected. There are two indicators that are examined in the science process skills of physical education students. The first integrity indicator is the variable identification and the second indicator is hypothesized experiment results. To know the mastery of the students' integrated science process skills on the indicators of variable identification and creating hypotheses, we can see Table 3.

Table 3. The Mastery Analysis of Student Science Process Skills on Variable Identification and Creating Hypotheses Indicators

Indicator	Classification			Mean	Min	Max	Std. Deviation	%
	Score Interval	Category	Total					
Variable Identification	X > 3.25	High	3	2.80	1.00	4.00	0.80	14.3
	2.75 < X ≤ 3.25	Good	6					60.0
	3.25 < X ≤ 2.75	Not Good	21					17.1
	X ≤ 2.75	Low	5					8.6
	Total		35					100
Creating Hypotheses	X > 3.25	High	5	2.91	1.00	4.00	0.66	14.3
	2.75 < X ≤ 3.25	Good	23					65.7
	3.25 < X ≤ 2.75	Not Good	6					17.1
	X ≤ 2.75	Low	1					2.9
	Total		35					100

Table 3 provides an overview of the science process skills of 35 physical education students for a metal-type test of a variable identification indicator. It is apparent that there is a variable identification skill level. At the lowest level, 8.6% or three students have a high category of variable identification skills. In the next level, 17.1% or six students have not a good category in variable identification skills, and 60% or 21 students have a well-categorized variable identification. Meanwhile, the remaining 14.3% or five students have skills of variable identification in the science process in the category of very well. Further, a mean score of 2.80, a minimum score of 1.00, a maximum score of 4.00, and a standard deviation of 0.80 are obtained.

Table 3 also provides information related to the hypothesized indicator of a metal-type Calor experiment. From Table 3, it is known that there are one or 2.9% of students who have the skills to make the category hypothesis very unwell. Then, 17.1% or six students have the skills of creating hypotheses in a not good category. A total of 23 students or 65.7% have a science process skill to create hypotheses, or it is categorized into a good category. The remaining 14.3% or five students have the skills to create hypotheses that belong to the category of very well. Further, a mean score of 2.91, a minimum score of 1.00, a maximum score of 4.00, and a standard deviation of 0.66 are obtained.

Based on the information in Table 3, it can be concluded that the physics education students of University of Jambi have a good mastery of integrated science process skills. It is indicated by the percentage in each indicator which is in a good category. However, the students master the integrated science process skills on the indicator of creating hypotheses more, shown by the largest percentage of 65.7%.

After the variable identification, of course, in the practicum, a temporary hypothesis would be made for the results of experiments conducted from the theory studied. After the theory was studied, then,

it is attempted to prove the theory. This indicator is very important in a process skill. Creating hypotheses will make students think about the influence of each existing variable in the experiment practice of metal type heat. This process is part of the results of collecting information. When students create hypotheses, it means that the learning has been in progress with a scientific approach, where learners are able to make a temporary suspicion after observing the variables in the metal-type Calor experiments. Based on those data, the physics education students of University of Jambi have mastered the science process skills well.

Conclusion

The remoteness of the science process greatly helps students conduct scientific activities, one of which is a physics education students who are obliged to perform a practicum on a basic physics course. One of the basic physical materials is temperature and heat. Temperature and heat are material that is mandatory for the experiment. In the experiments, the skills of the process especially the science process are certainly needed. Based on the research conducted, there are two kinds of science process skills: basic science process skills and integrated science process skills. This research has focused on the observation and classification indicators for basic science process skills, while variable identification and creating hypotheses indicators are for the integrated science process skills.

The result of this study concludes that in the basic science process skills of physics education students, few students still have ungood observation skills and it proves that the observation skills of physics education students are already in the good category. For the classification indicator, there are still very few students who have high classification skills and very few of them do not have good classification skills. Likewise, in the integrated science process skills, for the variable identification and creating hypotheses, the average physics education students have the skills of identifying variables and

creating hypotheses. Therefore, the physics education students of University of Jambi in batch 2018 have mastered science process skills in the good category.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the chairman of physics education of the University of Jambi who has given us the opportunity to work together. The gratitude is also sent to the chairman of the physics education laboratory at the University of Jambi who has provided the opportunity to be able to conduct research at the university physics education laboratory and to all of the physics education students who have participated in this research.

References

- Andrian, Y., & Rusman, R. (2019). Implementasi pembelajaran abad 21 dalam kurikulum 2013. *Jurnal Penelitian Ilmu Pendidikan*, 12(1), 14–23. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jpipfip.v12i1.20116>
- Astalini, A., Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, D. A., & Destianti, A. (2019). Description of the dimensions attitudes towards science in junior high school at Muaro Jambi. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR)*, 47(1), 1–11. Retrieved from <https://www.gssrr.org/index.php/JournalOfBasicAndApplied/article/view/10022>
- Astalini, A., Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, W., Anwar, K., & Kurniawan, D. A. (2019). Effectiveness of using e-module and e-assessment. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (IJIM)*, 13(09), 21–39. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v13i09.11016>
- Astalini, A., Kurniawan, D. A., Melsayanti, R., & Destianti, A. (2018). Sikap terhadap mata pelajaran IPA di SMP se-Kabupaten Muaro Jambi. *Lentera Pendidikan: Jurnal Ilmu Tarbiyah Dan Keguruan*, 21(2), 214–227. <https://doi.org/10.24252/lp.2018v21n2i7>
- Astalini, A., Kurniawan, D. A., Perdana, R., & Kurniasari, D. (2018). Identification of student attitudes toward physics learning at Batanghari District high school. *The Educational Review, USA*, 2(9), 475–484. <https://doi.org/10.26855/er.2018.09.003>
- Astalini, A., Kurniawan, D. A., & Sumaryanti, S. (2018). Sikap siswa terhadap pelajaran fisika di SMAN Kabupaten Batanghari. *JIPF (Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Fisika)*, 3(2), 59–64. <https://doi.org/10.26737/jipf.v3i2.694>
- Bancong, H., & Putra, D. P. (2015). Analisis proses keterampilan proses sains mahasiswa berdasarkan gaya berpikir dan kecerdasan jamak pada praktikum fisika modern di Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika*, 3(1), 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.26618/jpf.v3i1.248>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, D. A., Astalini, A., Lumbantoruan, A., & Samosir, S. C. (2019). Mobile learning in higher education for the industrial revolution 4.0: Perception and response of physics practicum. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (IJIM)*, 13(09), 4–20. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v13i09.10948>
- Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, D. A., & Irdianti, I. (2019). Physics education students' science process skills. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 8(2), 293–298. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v8i2.28646>
- Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, D. A., Parasdila, H., & Irdianti, I. (2018a). Description of science process skills' physics education students at Jambi University in temperature and heat materials. *The Educational Review, USA*, 2(9), 485–

498. <https://doi.org/10.26855/er.2018.09.005>
- Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, D. A., Parasdila, H., & Irdianti, I. (2018b). Deskripsi keterampilan proses sains mahasiswa pada materi termodinamika. *Berkala Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika*, 6(3), 345–353. <https://doi.org/10.20527/bipf.v6i3.5290>
- Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, D. A., & Suryani, A. (2019). Effectiveness of basic physics II practicum guidelines based on science process skills. *JIPF (Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Fisika)*, 4(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.26737/jipf.v4i1.693>
- Darmaji, D., Kurniawan, D. A., Suryani, A., & Lestari, A. (2018). An identification of physics pre-service teachers' science process skills through science process skills-based practicum guidebook. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 7(2), 239–245. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v7i2.2690>
- Khaerunnisa, K. (2017). Analisis keterampilan proses sains (fisika) SMA di Kabupaten Jeneponto. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika*, 5(3), 340–350. <https://doi.org/10.26618/jpf.v5i3.855>
- Kurniawan, D. A., Astalini, A., & Anggraini, L. (2018). Evaluasi sikap siswa SMP terhadap IPA di Kabupaten Muaro Jambi. *Jurnal Ilmiah Didaktika: Media Ilmiah Pendidikan Dan Pengajaran*, 19(1), 124–139. <https://doi.org/10.22373/jid.v19i1.4198>
- Lestari, M. Y., & Diana, N. (2018). Keterampilan proses sains (KPS) pada pelaksanaan praktikum Fisika Dasar I. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 1(1), 49–54. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ijms.v1i1.2474>
- Lestari, R. P., & Suliyannah, S. (2014). Penerapan pendekatan keterampilan proses sains dalam model pembelajaran guided discovery pada materi suhu dan kalor terhadap hasil belajar siswa di SMAN 1 Sukomoro. *IPF: Inovasi Pendidikan Fisika*, 3(2), 60–64. Retrieved from <https://jurnal.mahasiswa.unesa.ac.id/index.php/inovasi-pendidikan-fisika/article/view/7446>
- Netriwati, N. (2018). Penerapan Taksonomi Bloom revisi untuk meningkatkan kemampuan pemahaman konsep matematis. *Desimal: Jurnal Matematika*, 1(3), 347–352. <https://doi.org/10.24042/djm.v1i3.3238>
- Nugroho, A., & Suliyannah, S. (2018). Pengembangan kit praktikum pegas berbasis pembelajaran guided inquiry pada materi elastisitas sebagai media pembelajaran siswa SMA. *IPF: Inovasi Pendidikan Fisika*, 7(2), 353–360. Retrieved from <https://jurnal.mahasiswa.unesa.ac.id/index.php/inovasi-pendidikan-fisika/article/view/24871>
- Pop, F. (2014). High performance numerical computing for high energy physics: A new challenge for big data science. *Advances in High Energy Physics*, (Special Issue), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/507690>
- Prasetya, T. I. (2012). Meningkatkan keterampilan menyusun instrumen hasil belajar berbasis modul interaktif bagi guru-guru IPA SMP N Kota Magelang. *Journal of Educational Research and Evaluation*, 1(2), 106–112. Retrieved from <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/jere/article/view/873>
- Puspita, S. A. (2016). Analisis keterampilan proses sains yang dikembangkan dalam LKS Biologi kelas X yang digunakan oleh siswa MAN di Kota Yogyakarta. *Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 5(1), 30–39.
- Reza, A., Syukur, A., & Soeleman, M. A. (2017). Penentuan jurusan siswa sekolah menengah atas disesuaikan dengan minat siswa menggunakan Algoritma Fuzzy C-Means. *Jurnal Teknologi Informasi CyberKU*, 13(1), 57–

68. Retrieved from <http://research.pps.dinus.ac.id/index.php/Cyberku/article/view/9>
- Septiyanto, R. F., & Darman, D. R. (2018). Pengaruh model pembelajaran berbasis proyek pada mata kuliah fisika dasar terhadap high order thinking skill mahasiswa. *Gravity: Jurnal Ilmiah Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 4(1), 13–22. <https://doi.org/10.30870/gravity.v4i1.3114>
- Setiadi, H. (2016). Pelaksanaan penilaian pada kurikulum 2013. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*, 20(2), 166–178. <https://doi.org/10.21831/pep.v20i2.7173>
- Siswono, H. (2017). Analisis pengaruh keterampilan proses sains terhadap penguasaan konsep fisika siswa. *Momentum: Physics Education Journal*, 1(2), 83–90. <https://doi.org/10.21067/mpej.v1i2.1967>
- Srirahayu, R. R. Y., & Arty, I. S. (2018). Validitas dan reliabilitas instrumen asesmen kinerja literasi sains pelajaran Fisika berbasis STEM. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*, 22(2), 168–181. <https://doi.org/10.21831/pep.v22i2.20270>
- Supahar, S., & Prasetyo, Z. K. (2015). Pengembangan instrumen penilaian kinerja kemampuan inkuiri peserta didik pada mata pelajaran fisika SMA. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*, 19(1), 96–108. <https://doi.org/10.21831/pep.v19i1.4560>
- Wahyuni, S., Indrawati, I., Sudarti, S., & Suana, W. (2017). Developing science process skills and problem solving abilities based on outdoor learning in junior high school. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(1), 165–169. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v6i1.6849>
- Widoyoko, E. P. (2011). *Evaluasi program pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

15%

INTERNET SOURCES

14%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Astalini Astalini, Darmaji Darmaji, Wawan Kurniawan, Khairul Anwar, Dwi Agus Kurniawan. "Effectiveness of Using E-Module and E-Assessment", International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), 2019 Publication	2%
2	eprints.uny.ac.id Internet Source	2%
3	journal.stkipsingkawang.ac.id Internet Source	2%
4	www.fm-kp.si Internet Source	2%
5	eudl.eu Internet Source	1%
6	files.eric.ed.gov Internet Source	1%
7	www.scilit.net Internet Source	1%

8

Internet Source

1%

9

Maison, Darmaji, Astalini, Dwi Agus Kurniawan, Peni Sefiah Indrawati. "SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS AND MOTIVATION", Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews, 2019

Publication

1%

10

ijicc.net

Internet Source

1%

11

A Subagyo, J Supriatna, N Andayani, A Mardiasuti, Sunarto. "Diversity and activity pattern of wild cats in Way Kambas National Park, Sumatra, Indonesia", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020

Publication

1%

12

unimuda.e-journal.id

Internet Source

<1%

13

www.scribd.com

Internet Source

<1%

14

garuda.ristekdikti.go.id

Internet Source

<1%

15

F U A K Sejati, S Saputro, N Y Indriyanti. "The role of performance and self-assessment to determine students' science process skills in SMP Negeri 11 Kota Tangerang Selatan",

<1%

16

zombiedoc.com

Internet Source

<1%

17

Wawan Kurniawan, Darmaji Darmaji, Astalini Astalini, Dwi Agus Kurniawan, M Hidayat, Nugroho Kurniawan, Linda Zaenati Nur Farida. "Multimedia physics practicum reflective material based on problem solving for science process skills", International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE), 2019

Publication

<1%

18

Maison, Retni Sulistioning Budiarti, Sofia Christine Samosir, Neng Ria Nasih. "DIFFERENCES OF SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS PRE-SERVICE TEACHER ON PHYSICS EDUCATION AND BIOLOGY EDUCATION", Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews, 2020

Publication

<1%

19

repository.petra.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

20

jurnal.unimus.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

21

mafiadoc.com

Internet Source

<1%

22 repository.up.ac.za <1 %
Internet Source

23 giapjournals.com <1 %
Internet Source

24 Indah Cahaya Pramesti, Bambang Subali. "The learning continuum of ecology based on teachers' opinion about student's level of competence and specific pedagogical learning material", AIP Publishing, 2017 <1 %
Publication

25 doaj.org <1 %
Internet Source

26 Darmaji Darmaji, Dwi Agus Kurniawan, Astalini Astalini, Artha Lumbantoruan, Sofia Christine Samosir. "Mobile Learning in Higher Education for The Industrial Revolution 4.0: Perception and Response of Physics Practicum", International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM), 2019 <1 %
Publication

27 www.tn.matyc.org <1 %
Internet Source

28 Ediansyah Ediansyah, Dwi Agus Kurniawan, Rahmat Perdana, Salamah Salamah. "Using problem-based learning in college: Mastery <1 %

concepts subject statistical researh and motivation", International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE), 2019

Publication

29

hdl.handle.net

Internet Source

<1%

30

Arifah Putri Kurniawati, Zuhdan Kun Prasetyo, Insih Wilujeng, I. Gusti Putu Suryadarma. "The effectiveness of science domain-based science learning integrated with local potency", AIP Publishing, 2017

Publication

<1%

31

Darmaji Darmaji, Astalini Astalini, Dwi Agus Kurniawan, Hanaiyah Parasdila et al. "E-Module Based Problem Solving in Basic Physics Practicum for Science Process Skills", International Journal of Online and Biomedical Engineering (iJOE), 2019

Publication

<1%

32

"MESA Bulletin Covers Through the Ages", Middle East Studies Association Bulletin, 2016

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes

Off

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography

On