


ISOPH
 4th International Symposium of Public Health


 UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA
 Excellence with Morality


 Griffith
 UNIVERSITY
 Queensland, Australia


 UNIVERSITAS
 HASANUDDIN

CERTIFICATE

this is to Certify that

NO. SK 618/IAKMIPUSAT/SK-IX/2019
 MODERATOR/ COMMITTEE 3 credit points
 POSTER PRESENTATOR 3 credit points
 PARTICIPANT 4 credit points
 ORAL PRESENTATOR 5 credit points
 SPEAKER 5 credit points

NO. SK 097/KEP/PP-PERSAKMI/C/X/2019
 PARTICIPANT 6 credit points
 MODERATOR/ COMMITTEE 6 credit points
 SPEAKER 6 credit points

NO. SK 0895/DPP/PPNI/SK.K.S/VIII/2019
 PARTICIPANT 1 credit points
 MODERATOR/ COMMITTEE 3 credit points
 POSTER PRESENTATOR 3 credit points
 SPEAKER 4 credit points

NO. SK 6096/S/SKP-IBI/IX/2019
 COMMITTEE 4 credit points
 MODERATOR 4 credit points
 PARTICIPANT 4 credit points
 SPEAKER 5 credit points

Dr.Guspianto, SKM.MKM

has participated as:

Oral Presenter

at

4th International Symposium of Public Health

Gold Coast, Australia, October, 29th-30th 2019

“Optimizing Public Health For Sustainable Global Prosperity
Through Innovative Collaboration”

Dean of Faculty of Public Health
Universitas Airlangga



Prof. Dr. Iri Martiana, dr., M.S.

Dean of School of Medicine
Griffith University




Professor David Ellwood

Chairman of the Committee





Andriana S. Kes, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kes



ISOPH
 4th International Symposium of Public Health

ABSTRACT BOOK

Optimizing Public Health for Sustainable Global Prosperity Through Innovative Collaboration


 UNIVERSITAS AIRLANGGA
 Excellence with Morality



 Griffith
 UNIVERSITY
 Queensland, Australia


 UNIVERSITAS
 HASANUDDIN

isoph2019.conference.unair.ac.id

ISOPH 2019 | OCTOBER 29TH-30TH, 2019

4th International Symposium of Public Health



GRIFFITH UNIVERSITY, GOLD COAST CAMPUS,
QUEENSLAND, AUSTRALIA

DEVELOPMENT OF INTEGRATION MODEL TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT (TQM) AND SIX SIGMA (SS) IN HOSPITAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT (Study of Hospitals in Jambi Province)

Guspianto^{1*}, Ismi Nurwaqiah Ibnu²

^{1,2}Study Program of Public Health Science, Public Health Faculty, Jambi University

** Presenting author: +6282257486689, guspianto@unja.ac.id*

Introduction: Implementation of quality management is very important for hospitals to improve processes, solve problems, and reduce variations and errors in service, including through the implementation of popular Total Quality Management (TQM) and Six Sigma (SS) as new quality management strategies to increase profitability, effectiveness and efficiency of the organization's operations to meet customer needs. This study aims to develop an integrated hospital quality management model from the practice of TQM and SS to provide synergy in improving hospital performance.

Method: The study design was cross sectional through a survey using a questionnaire on 863 respondents, namely all employees ranging from doctors to administrative personnel at 8 hospitals. The TQM and SS practice integration model identified as "Quality Management Alliance Model (QMA)" consists of 6 variable constructs, namely: Management Practice (MP); TQM Infrastructure Practice (IPTQM); SS Infrastructure Practice (IPSS); Core Practice TQM (CPTQM); Core Practice SS (CPSS); and Hospital Performance (KRS) with 12 structural equations hypothesized. Data analysis using Structural Equation Model through 2 tests, namely analysis of measurement models using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) second order approach and structural model analysis.

Results and Discussions: The results of the first order confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) analysis, after issuing invalid indicators ($SLF \leq 0.5$ and $t \leq 1.96$), obtained constructs of latent variables with models fit, valid, and reliable. Then in the second order CFA analysis on the overall model after being simplified through LVS (latent variable score) obtained construct model fit, valid and reliable. The results of the structural model analysis obtained a model fit with 11 structural equations that are positively and significantly related ($t > 1.96$). This study proves that the QMA model is feasible and can be applied to measure the implementation of hospital quality management.

Conclusions: Hospital management is recommended to implement the QMA Model optimally to improve performance.

Keywords: hospital performance, quality management, six sigma, structural equation model, total quality management

DEVELOPMENT OF INTEGRATION MODEL TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT (TQM) AND SIX SIGMA (SS) IN HOSPITAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT (Study of Hospitals in Jambi Province)

Guspianto ^{1*}, Ismi Nurwaqiah Ibnu ²

^{1,2} Study Program of Public Health Science, Public Health Faculty, Jambi University

* Guspianto, +6282257486689, guspianto@unja.ac.id

Introduction: Implementation of quality management is very important for hospitals to improve processes, solve problems, and reduce variations and errors in service, including through the implementation of popular Total Quality Management (TQM) and Six Sigma (SS) as new quality management strategies to increase profitability, effectiveness and efficiency of the organization's operations to meet customer needs. This study aims to develop an integrated hospital quality management model from the practice of TQM and SS to provide synergy in improving hospital performance. **Method :** The study design was cross sectional through a survey using a questionnaire on 863 respondents, namely all employees ranging from doctors to administrative personnel at 8 hospitals. The TQM and SS practice integration model identified as "Quality Management Alliance Model (QMA)" consists of 6 variable constructs, namely: Management Practice (MP); TQM Infrastructure Practice (IPTQM); SS Infrastructure Practice (IPSS); Core Practice TQM (CPTQM); Core Practice SS (CPSS); and Hospital Performance (KRS) with 12 structural equations hypothesized. Data analysis using Structural Equation Model through 2 tests, namely analysis of measurement models using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) second order approach and structural model analysis. **Result :** The results of the first order confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) analysis, after issuing invalid indicators ($SLF \leq 0.5$ and $t \leq 1.96$), obtained constructs of latent variables with models fit, valid, and reliable. Then in the second order CFA analysis on the overall model after being simplified through LVS (latent variable score) obtained construct model fit, valid and reliable. The results of the structural model analysis obtained a model fit with 11 structural equations that are positively and significantly related ($t > 1.96$). This study proves that the QMA model is feasible and can be applied to measure the implementation of hospital quality management. **Conclusions :** Hospital management is recommended to implement the QMA Model optimally to improve performance.

Keyword : Hospital Performance; Quality Management; Six Sigma; Structural Equation Model; Total Quality Management.

Introduction

The importance of implementing quality management in hospitals, especially in Indonesia, is driven by several issues, including: the low quality of hospital services; Medical errors still occur frequently; increased financing for health services; and the existence of a National Health Insurance program. Organizations in the world including hospitals have implemented various quality management programs to maintain competitive advantage and improve performance, including through Total Quality Management (TQM) and Six Sigma initiatives. Many studies have examined the effect of TQM and Six Sigma initiatives on hospital performance, both of which have been shown to have positive and significant effects. TQM practices affect the performance of hospitals in Iran's Isfahan Province¹, hospital operational flexibility in Jordan², and the quality of hospital performance in South India³. Meanwhile, Six Sigma which has grown and developed as a new quality management strategy is also

proven to be able to improve hospital performance such as services / care, administration and finance, and hospital operations⁴, reduce cycle times in emergency units, increase bed capacity, reduce medication errors and increase patient satisfaction⁵.

Although they are still being debated by experts, both have been proven empirically capable of being a method of continuous quality improvement that improves hospital performance, where TQM is more focused on addressing improvements at the system (macro) level, while Six Sigma produces improvements at the operational (micro) level. The integration of the two is considered to be very strategic so that the organization achieves a high level of operational performance through Six Sigma practices to improve the overall performance of the organization through the TQM system. This study aims to develop an integrated hospital quality management model of TQM and Six Sigma to provide synergy in improving hospital performance in Jambi Province.

Method

This study was designed cross sectionally through a survey using a questionnaire. The study was conducted at eight hospitals in Jambi Province that were selected purposively. The study population was all hospital employees who had worked for at least six months (inclusion criteria), except for Director / Deputy Director and junior high school education or below (exclusion criteria). The number of analysis samples were 863 respondents selected proportionally randomly. The questionnaire instrument was a list of questions using the Likert scale answer options with graded scores, i.e. "never" (value 1) to "always" (value 7). Statistical tests use Structural Equation Model (SEM) analysis through the Lisrel 8.70 application that produces measurement models through second-order Confirmatory Factors Analysis (CFA) and structural models.

Instrument Development and Development

In this study, TQM practice is based on Malcolm Baldrige's model with seven criteria, namely leadership; strategic planning; customer focus; measurement, analysis, and knowledge management; workforce focus; focus of operation; and outcomes³, and Six Sigma is based on typical practices and distinguishes it from TQM, namely the involvement of leaders, quality improvement infrastructure, selection and priority of activities, structured improvement procedures, and focus on performance measures^{6,7,8,9,10,11,12}. Furthermore, hospital performance was developed into 6 indicators, namely effectiveness, efficiency, staff orientation, service responsiveness, safety, and patient focus adapted from the Performance Assessment Tool for Quality Improvement in Hospital / PATH criteria¹³ and six health service quality domains¹⁴. The development of this instrument was also carried out through discussions with hospital quality management experts to obtain input on appropriate and appropriate indicators to be used in assessing hospital quality management practices and performance.

Results and Discussions

Measurement Model

Analysis of the measurement model using the 2nd order confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). The results of the analysis of the CFA 1st order model obtained a fit test of the model fit with valid and reliable indicators in each latent variable, after invalid indicators ($SLF \leq 0.5$ and $t \leq 1.96$) were excluded from the model. The results of the analysis of the second order CFA model obtained by the fit test model fit, with all indicators are valid ($SLF > 0.5$; $t > 1.96$) and reliable ($CR > 0.7$; $VE > 0.5$). It was concluded that the QMA model is good, feasible, and reliable (Figure.1).




Figure.1 CFA Model Path Chart 2nd order (Standardize Loading)

Structural Model

The structural model evaluation results obtained fit model (GoF) fit, with 11 structural equations that have a positive and significant relationship ($t > 1.96$) from the 12 structural equations hypothesized (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Hospital QMA Model Path Diagram (t-value)

Based on the calculation of the total effect value, it was concluded that the IPTQM variable had the greatest influence on hospital performance in Jambi Province with a total effect = 0.55 (Table 1)

Table 1. Effect of Total Variable QMA Model on Hospital Performance in Jambi Province

Description	Direct Effect	Indirect Effect	Total Effect
-------------	---------------	-----------------	--------------

Effect of Management Practices on Hospital Performance	-	0,51	0,51
Effect of IPTQM ¹ on Hospital Performance	0,24	0,31	0,55
Effect of IPSS ² on Hospital Performance	0,01*	0,42	0,43
Effect of CPTQM ³ on Hospital Performance	0,10	-	0,10
Effect of CPSS ⁴ on Hospital Performance	0,47	0,03	0,50

Adj : ¹ Infrastructure Practice Total Quality Management

² Infrastructure Practice Six Sigma

³ Core Practice Total Quality Management

⁴ Core Practice Six Sigma

* not significant

Discussions

This study proves that IPTQM influences CPTQM (t-value = 2.10), and supports that infrastructure practices influence core practices^{7,8}. Effective strategic planning provides information about strategies for managing work processes and is needed to develop performance measurements¹⁵. Customer-driven hospitals will build work processes to achieve customer satisfaction, provide information systems and performance measurements that begin and end at the customer. Furthermore, staff management supports the improvement of processes, encourages information systems and data analysis, and supports the measurement of performance on an ongoing basis¹⁶.

IPTQM influences CPSS (t-value = 15.9), in line with the study of Lakhal, et al. (2006) and Zu, et al. (2008)^{7,8}. The strategic plan is how the organization is able to implement its vision and mission through continuous improvement¹⁷, establishing quality improvement procedures and quality measures as indicators of performance evaluation¹⁵. The customer-focused hospital underpins the implementation of the improvement procedures and quality indicators to address the problem of service to patients. The organization must establish procedures for improvement and clear quality measures according to the wishes of the customer. The organization must also manage staff to build competence, motivation and participation in implementing improvement procedures and applying performance indicators. Staff-focused organizations create participation in continuous improvement efforts and use measures as quality indicators¹⁸.

IPTQM influences Hospital Performance (t-value = 4.23), meaning that the optimal implementation of strategic plans, customer focus and staff focus will contribute to improving hospital performance. Strategic planning is one of the success factors in implementing TQM in hospitals that guides the implementation of all operations to improve performance¹. Hospitals must understand patient expectations by providing suggestion boxes, satisfaction surveys, etc., as well as meeting those patient expectations through responsive services to achieve patient satisfaction that impacts on hospital performance. In addition, attention to staff also needs to be done to increase motivation, participation and job satisfaction through education and technical training, job description, clarity of reward and punishment systems, supervision and evaluation, all of which encourage increased productivity.

IPSS has an effect on CPSS (t-value = 11.77), meaning the existence of a quality team and priority activities will encourage the application of procedures and quality measures for improving service in hospitals. One of the keys to the success of hospital quality management is to form a board or quality team to develop procedures and measures that are important for quality improvement and control. IPSS also influences IPTQM (t-value = 10.03), where the presence of a quality team and selection and priority activities support the achievement of a strategic plan, patient satisfaction by minimizing

variation and service errors, and staff satisfaction by clarifying roles and responsibilities in improving quality service.

IPSS has been proven to have an effect on CPTQM (t-value = 2.41) which means the presence of a quality team in hospitals is needed to manage the service process, develop information systems, and assess service performance¹⁵. Quality infrastructure in hospitals supports the improvement of service processes. The selection and priority of activities plays a role in managing processes, developing information systems and measuring performance. Every activity in a hospital must start from the most important priority for continuous improvement¹.

The results of this study prove that IPSS has no significant effect on KRS (t-value = 0.67). This result corroborates previous research that infrastructure practices do not directly influence performance, but are mediated by core practices¹⁹. The existence of a quality team and the efforts to select and prioritize activities in hospitals tend to contribute more to the improvement of the service process and have not been effective in influencing hospital performance. Study of Lee, et al. (2002) in Korea prove that the formal structure of quality improvement in hospitals is not always needed to improve performance, as long as all quality management functions can be clearly and precisely distributed throughout the entire hospital structure²⁰.

Conclusions

The Quality Management Alliance Model (QMA Model) is a model with a construct that is fit, valid and reliable, with eleven positive and significant relationship frameworks out of the twelve hypothesized relationship frameworks. This proves that the QMA model is feasible and reliable to measure the implementation of quality management and effectively improve hospital performance.

References

1. Rad, A.M.M., 2005. A Survey of Total Quality Management in Iran: Barriers to Successful implementation in Health Care Organizations. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. xii-xxxiv.
2. Alolayyan, M.N., Mohd Ali, K.A., & Idris, F., 2011. The Influence of Total Quality Management (TQM) on Operational Flexibility in Jordanian Hospitals: Medical Workers' Perspectives. *The Asian Journal on Quality*. Vol. 12 No. 2, pp. 204-222.
3. Manjunath, U., Metri, B.A., & Ramachandran, S., 2007. Quality Management in A Healthcare Organisation: A Case of South Indian Hospital. *The TQM Magazine*, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 129-139.
4. Sehswail, L. & DeYong, C., 2003. Six Sigma in Health Care. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. i-v.
5. Antony, J., Downey-Ennis, K., Antony, F., & Seow, C., 2007. Can Six Sigma be the "Cure" for or Ailing NHS? *Leadership in Health Services*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 242-253.
6. Schroeder, R.G., Linderman, K., Liedtke, C., & Choo, A.S., 2008. Six Sigma: Definition and Underlying Theory. *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 26, pp. 536-554.
7. Zu, X., Fredendall, L.D., & Douglas, T.J., 2008. The Evolving Theory of Quality Management: The Role of Six Sigma. *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 26, pp. 630-650.
8. Lakhal, L., Pasin, F., & Limam, M., 2006. Quality Management Practices and Their Impact on Performance. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, Vol. 23 No. 6, pp. 625-646.

9. Gaspersz, V. & Fontana, A., 2011. Integrated Management Problem Solving: Panduan bagi praktisi bisnis dan industri. Penerbit Vinchristo Publication. Bogor.
10. Feng, J., Prajogo, D.I., Tan, K.C., & Sohal, A.S., 2006. The Impact of TQM Practices on Performance: A Comparative Study between Australian and Singaporean Organizations. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, Vol. 9 No. 3, pp. 269-278.
11. Haikonen, A., Savolainen, T., & Jarvinen, P., 2004. Exploring Six Sigma and CI Capability Development: Preliminary Case Study Findings on Management Role. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 15 No. 4, pp. 369-378.
12. Banuelas, R., & Antony, J., 2002. Critical Success Factors for the Successful Implementation of Six Sigma Projects in Organizations. *The TQM Magazine*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 92-99.
13. WHO, 2007. PATH: Performance Assessment Tool for Quality Improvement in Hospitals.
14. Institute of Medicine (IOM), 2006. Performance Measurement: Accelerating Improvement. Pathways to Quality Health Care, The National Academies Press, Washington DC.
15. Fening, F.A., 2012. Impact of Quality Management Practices on the Performance and Growth of Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, Vol. 3 No. 13, pp. 1-13.
16. Wardhani, Viera., Utarini, Adi., Pieter van Dijk, Jitse., Post, Doeke., & Groothoff, J.W., 2009. Determinants of Quality Management Systems Implementation in Hospitals. *Health Policy*, Vol. 89, pp. 239-251.
17. Nabitz, et al., 2000. The EFQM Excellence Model: European and Dutch Experiences with The EFQM Approach in Health Care. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, Vol. 12 No. 3, pp. 191-201.
18. Kaynak, H., 2003, The Relationship Between Total Quality Management Practices and Their Effects on Firm Performance, *Journal of Operations Management*, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 405-35.
19. Ho, D.C.K., Duffy, V.G., & Shih, H.M., 2001. Total Quality Management: An Empirical Test for Mediation Effect. *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 39 No. 3, pp. 529-548.
20. Lee, S.C.K., Kang, H.Y., Cho, W., & Chae, Y.M., 2002. Assessing the Factors Influencing Continuous Quality Improvement Implementation: Experience in Korean Hospitals. *International Journal for Quality in Health care*, Vol. 14, pp. 383-91.

Filename: FULL PAPER ISoPH 4 Guspiano.docx
Folder: /Users/macbook/Library/Containers/com.microsoft.Word/Data/Documents
Template: /Users/macbook/Library/Group Containers/UBF8T346G9.Office/User
Content.localized/Templates.localized/Normal.dotm
Title:
Subject:
Author: MacBook Air
Keywords:
Comments:
Creation Date: 3/18/21 12:10
Change Number: 2
Last Saved On: 3/18/21 12:10
Last Saved By: Microsoft Office User
Total Editing Time: 1 Minute
Last Printed On: 3/18/21 12:10
As of Last Complete Printing
Number of Pages: 8
Number of Words: 2,541 (approx.)
Number of Characters: 14,485 (approx.)