

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abd el Khalick, F., Boujaoude, S., Duschl, R. A., Lederman, N. G., Mamlok-Naaman, R., Hofstein, A., & Tuan, H. I. (2004). Inquiry in Science Education: International Perspectives. *Science Education*, 88, 397-419. doi: 10.1002/sce.10118.
- Ames, K. (2016). Distance Education and ‘Discovery Learning’ in First-year Journalism: A Case in Subject Improvement. *Asia Pacific Media Educator*, 26(2), 214–225. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1326365X16669196>
- Awad, N., & Barak, M. (2018). PreService Science Teachers Learns a Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)- Oriented Program: The Case of Sound, Waves and Communication System. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*.
- Bandura, A. (2006). *Guide for Constructing Self-Efficacy Scales*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-Efficacy: Toward a Unifying Theory of Behavioral Change. *Psychological Review*, 84, No. 2, 191-215.
- Bandura, A. (2006b). *Self-Efficacy in Changing Societies*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Baron, R. A., & Byrne, D. (2004). *Psikologi Sosial*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Baity, N, Syaiful, Muhaimin. The Effect of Creative Problem Solving Learning Models on Problem Solving Ability in Learning Motivation and Student SelfEfficacy View. *International Journal of Social Science And Human Research*. ISSN (print): 2644-0679, ISSN (online): 2644-0695, 04 (03). DOI: 10.47191/ijsshr/v4-i3-30, 492-500.
- Bamiro, A. O. (2015). Effects of Guided Discovery and Think-Pair-Share Strategies on Secondary School Students’ Achievement in Chemistry. *SAGE Open*, 5(1), 215824401456475. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244014564754>
- Brown, B. A. (2016). Understanding the Flipped Classroom : Types , Uses and Reactions to a Modern and Evolving Pedagogy. *Culminating Projects in Teacher Development*, 12, 27.http://repository.stcloudstate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1010&context=ed_etds.
- Bell, T., Urhahne, D., Schanze, S., & Ploetzner, R. (2010). Collaborative Inquiry Learning: Models, tools, and challenges. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(3), 349–377.
- Berlin, F. D., White, & L, A. (2002). Attitudes toward integration as perceived by preservice teachers. *Science Educator; Biological Science Database*, 11(1), 32-40.
- Branch, R. M., & Kopcha, T. J. (2014). *Instructional design models Handbook of research on educational communications and technology*: Springer.

- Buell, C. A., Greenstein, S., & Wilstein, Z. (2016). Constructing an Inquiry Orientation from a Learning Theory Perspective: Democratizing Access through Task Design. *PRIMUS*, 27(1), 75-95. doi: 10.1080/10511970.2016.1194339.
- Bybee, R. W. (2000). Teaching science as inquiry. In J. Minstrell & e. H. van Zee (eds.) *Inquiring into inquiry learning and teaching in science* (pp. 21-46). Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience and school*. . Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research : planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (Fouth Edition)*: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Dahar, R. W. (1989). *Teori-Teori Belajar*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Dalkey, N. (1967). Delphi (Report P-3704). Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corporation.
- Dencik, A. B., dkk (2019). *Statistik Multivariat: Analisis ANOVA, MANOVA, ANCOVA, MANCOVA, REPEATED MEASURES dengan Aplikasi EXCELL dan SPSS*, (1 ed.). Depok: PT Rajagrafindo Persada.
- Deshpande, L. (2017). *Challenges in Measurementof Scientific Attitude*. Pune, India: SNDR Women's University
- Deshpande, L. (2004). *Challenges in Measurement of Scientific Attitude*. Paper presented at the episTEME-1 An international conference to review research on science, Technology andMathematics Education, International Centre, Dona Paula, Goa, India.
- Dick, W., Carey, L., & Carey, J. O. (2001). *The Systematic Design of Instruction* (Vol. 5): In: NJ: Upper Saddle River. Pearson.
- Dierking, L. D., Falk, J. H., Rennie, L., Anderson, D., & Ellenbogen, K. (2003). Policy statement of the “informal science education” ad hoc committee. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 40(2), 108-111.
- Dorier, J., & Maab, K. (2012). The PRIMAS Project: Promoting Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) in Mathematics and Science Education across Europe PRIMAS Context Analysis for the Implementation of IBL: *International Synthesis Report PRIMAS– Promoting Inquiry-Based Learning in Mathematic* (Vol. 1). Lokaliseret på: www.primasproject.eu/servlet/supportBinaryFiles.
- Edelson, D. C. (2001). Learning-for-Use: A Framework for the Design of Technology-Supported Inkuiri Activities. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(3), 355-385.
- Erduran, S., & Jimenez-Aleixandre, M. P. (2007). *Argumentation in Science Education, Perspectives from Classroom-Based Research*: Springer.

- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Glassner, A., B. B., & Schwarz. (2007). What stands and develops between creative and critical thinking? Argumentation? *Thinking Skill and Creativity*, 2, 10-18. doi: 10.1016/j.tsc.2006.10.001.
- Honebein, P. C., & Sink, D. L. (2012). The Practice of Eclectic Instructional Design (Publication no. DOI: 10.1002/pfi). from Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary).
- Huang, Y.-M., & Huang, Y.-M. (2015). A scaffolding strategy to develop handheld sensor-based vocabulary games for improving students' learning motivation and performance. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 63(5), 691–708. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-015-9382-9>.
- Huberty, C. J., & Olejnik. (2006). *Applied MANOVA and Discriminant Analysis*: Inc Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Joyce, B., Weil, M., & Calhoun, E. (2015a). *Models of teaching*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc: Pearson.
- Joyce, B., Weil, M., & Calhoun, E. (2015b). *Models of Teaching* (Ninth Edition ed.). United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Kaberman, Z., & Dori, Y. J. (2009). Question posing, inquiry, and modeling skills of chemistry students in the case-based computerized laboratory environment. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 7, 597-625. doi: 10.1007/s10763-007-9118-3.
- Keraf, G. (2004). *Diksi dan Gaya Bahasa*. Jakarta: PT. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Kerlinger. (2006). *Asas–Asas Penelitian Behaviour, Edisi 3, Cetakan 7*. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Keselman, A. (2003). Supporting inquiry learning by promoting normative understanding of multivariable causality. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 40(9), 898-921.
- Khalick, A., Boujaoude, S., Duschl, R. A., Lederman, N. G., Mamlok-Naaman, R., Hofstein, A., & Tuan, H. I. (2004). Inquiry in science education: International perspectives. . *Science Education*, 88, 397–419. doi: doi:10.1002/sce.10118.
- Khalaf, B. K., & Zin, Z. B M. (2018). Traditional and Inquiry-Based Learning Pedagogy: A Systematic Critical Review. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(4), 545-564. <https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2018.11434a>
- Kerlinger, F. N. (2000). *Foundation of behavioral research* (4th Ed). New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

- Kim, K., Sharma, P., Land, S. M., & Furlong, K. P. (2013). Effects of active learning on enhancing student critical thinking in an undergraduate general science course. *Innovative Higher Education*, 38(3), 223-235. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-012-9236-x>.
- Kinshuk, Liu, T. C., & Graf, S. (2009). Coping with mismatched courses: students' behaviour and performance in courses mismatched to their learning styles. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 57 (6), 739-752. doi: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-009-9116-y>
- Lai, E. R. (2011). Critical thinking: A literature review. *Pearson's Research Reports*, 6, 40-41.
- Lee, J., & Jang, S. (2014). A methodological framework for instructional design model development: Critical dimension and synthesized procedures. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 62(6), 743-765.
- Leys, C., & Schumann, S. (2010). A nonparametric method to analyze interactions: The adjusted rank transform test. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 46, 684-688. doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2010.02.00
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (2009). *Analisis Data Kualitatif*. Jakarta: UI Press.
- Morrison, G. R., Ross, S. M., Kemp, J. E., & Kalman, H. (2011). *Designing Effective Instruction*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Muhfahroyin, M. (2010). Memberdayakan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa Melalui Pembelajaran Konstruktivistik. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran (JPP)*, 16(1), 88-93.
- Novehasanah. (2016). kelebihan-kelemahan-model-inkuiri. Retrieved from <http://novehasanah.blogspot.com/2016/01>.
- Panuntun, L.W, Marini, A & Sumantri, M.S. 2018. The Effect of Self-Learning and Regulating Methods on Critical Thinking Ability in Social Knowledge Science Subject. *East African Scholars Journal of Education, Humanities and Literature* (An Open Access, International, Indexed, Peer-Reviewed Journal) A Publication of East African Scholars Publisher, Kenya. 1(3), 81-84. DOI: 10.36349/easjehl.2018.v01i03.002.
- Ping, I.L.L , Halim, L , Osman, K. 2019. The Effects of Explicit Scientific Argumentation Instruction through Practical Work on Science Process Skills. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Pembelajaran IPA*. Vol. 5, No. 2, 2019, p. 112-131. p-ISSN 2477-1422 e-ISSN 2477-2038. DOI: 10.30870/jppi.v5i2.5931
- Rayner, E., Baxter, S. M., & Ilicic, J. (2015). Smoker's recall of fear appeal imagery: Examining the effect of fear intensity and fear type. *Australasian Marketing Journal*, 23, 61-66. doi:10.1016/j.ausmj.2014.11.003

- Richey, R. C. (2005). *Validating instruction design and development model*. In J.M. Spector & D.A Wiley (Eds), *Innovation in instructional technology: Essay in honor of . David Merrill* (pp. 171-185); Mahwah, N.J., Lawrence Erlbau Associates Publisher.
- Rönnebeck, S., Bernholt, S., & Ropohl, M. (2016). Searching for a common ground – A literature review of empirical research on scientific inquiry activities. *Studies in Science Education*, 52(2), 161-197. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2016.1206351>.
- Roviati, E., & Widodo, A. (2019). Kontribusi Argumentasi Ilmiah dalam Pengembangan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis. *Titian Ilmu: Jurnal Ilmiah Multi Sciences*, 11(2), 50-66. doi: 10.30599/jti.v11i2.454.
- Rusdi, M. (2018a). *Penelitian Desain dan Pengembangan Kependidikan (Konsep, Prosedur dan Sintesis Pengetahuan Baru)* (1 ed.). Depok: PT. RajaGrafindo.
- Rusdi, M. (2018b). *Penelitian Desain dan Pengembangan Kependidikan (Konsep, Prosedur dan Sintesis Pengetahuan Baru)* (1 ed.). Depok: PT Rajagrafindo Persada.
- Rusdi M. (2020). *Penelitian Perlakuan Kependidikan (Educational Treatment-Based Research)* (1 ed.). Depok: PT Rajagrafindo Persada.
- Sanjaya, W. (2008). *Strategi Pembelajaran Berorientasi Standar Proses Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Tengku Muhammad Sahudra, T.M , Murniati , Setiawan, D & Taher, A. 2019. The Influence of Social Interaction Learning Model, Learning Motivation, Social Attitude on the Student Learning Result of Geographic Subject in Public Senior High Schools in Aceh Province. *Environment and Pollution*, 8(2). ISSN 1927-0909. E-ISSN 1927-0917. Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education.
- Schwab, J. J. (2014). Inquiry, the Science Teacher, and the Educator. *Chicago Journals*, Vol. 68, No. 2 (Summer, 1960), pp. 176-195.
- Seifert, K., & Sutton, R. (2009). *Global Text: Educational Psychology (Second Edition)*. Switzerland: Jacobs Foundation, Zurich.
- Simon, S. (2008). Using Toulmin's Argument Pattern in the evaluation of argumentation in school science. *Journal International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 31(3), 277-289.
- Soeryanto, Arsana, I. M., Hidayatullah, R. S., & Ariyanto, S. R. (2020). Analysis of HOTS Type Multiple-choice Test Items on Learning Automotive Electrical Systems in SMK Dharma Bahari Surabaya. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1569, 032046. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1569/3/032046>
- Sugiharto, B., Corebima, A. D., Susilo, H., & Ibrohim. (2016). Permasalahan Mahasiswa Calon Guru Biologi dalam Belajar Strategi Pembelajaran Biologi dan Cara Mengatasinya. *Jurnal Bioedukasi*, 9 (2), 44-48.

- Toulmin, S. E. (2003). *The Uses of Argument* (Updated Edition ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Tracey, M. W. (2009). Design and development research: a model validation case, *Education Tech Research Dev by AECT*, Springer, 57: 553-571.
- Tracey, M. W., & Richey, R. C. (2007). ID model construction and validation: a multiple intelegencies case. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 55(4), 369-390.
- Widhiarso, W (2011). *Arti Interaksi pada Analisis Varians*. Fakultas Psikologi UGM.
- Wikanso. (2013). Peningkatan Motivasi Belajar, Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Dan Prestasi Belajar Melalui Strategi Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah Dengan Metode Inkuiri Pada Mahasiswa Semester III Program Studi Bahasa Indonesia STKIP PGRI Ngawi. *Ilmiah STKIP PGRI Ngawi*, 39-49.
- Windschitl, M. (2004). Folk Theories of “Inquiry:” How Preservice Teachers Reproduce the Discourse and Practices of an Atheoretical Scientific Method. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, Vol. 41, NO. 5, 481–512. doi: 10.1002/tea.20010.
- Windschitl, M. (2009). *Cultivating 21 Century Skills in Science Learners: How Systems of Teacher Preparation and Professional Development Will Have to Evolve*. Paper presented at the Workshop on 21 Century Skills, University of Washington.
- Windschitl, M., Thompson, J., & Btaaten, M. (2008). Beyond the Scientific Method: Model-Based Inquiry as a New Paradigm of Preference for School Science Investigations (Publication no. 10.1002/sce.20259). from Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).
- Zaini, H., Munthe, B., & Aryani S, A. (2008). *Strategi Pembelajaran Aktif*. Yogyakarta: Insan madani.