

Analysis of Student Problem Solving Processes in Physics

by Upik Yelianti

Submission date: 04-May-2023 01:40PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2083848721

File name: Analysis_of_Student_Problem_Solving_Processes_in_Physics_1.pdf (686.77K)

Word count: 5795

Character count: 32390



Analysis of Student Problem Solving Processes in Physics

Fitriyus Wulandari^{1*}, Rendi², Murnani³, Fakhriyeh⁴

¹Department of Information Systems, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Indonesia
²Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Universitas Islam, Indonesia

³Department of Physics Education, Universitas Islam, Indonesia

⁴Department of Biology Education, Universitas Islam, Indonesia

Article History:

Received: November 21, 2020

Revised: December 01, 2020

Accepted: January 04, 2021

Published Online: 01, 2021

Keywords:

Problem Solving, Physics, Student

*Corresponding Author:

ijet@ojs.umsida.ac.id

Abstract: The main goal of learning physics is to understand physics concepts in-depth and apply them in the problem-solving process. This study presents a synthesis of using students' problem-solving physics problems. The first major element in this article consists of: (1) the different characteristics and stages in problem-solving; (2) and Polking's Solving Approach; (3) the use of examples in **problem-solving**; and (4) the use of **conventional** **physics problem-solving**. This article is the result of studies on problem-solving student's behavior. Each topic studied will be discussed related to the development of research results for each topic and problem-solving for future research. Although we do not find the best in the student solving the 100 words, most of the student answers are better than the research of the last 20 years on solving physics problems.

INTRODUCTION

The main objective of learning physics is to increase mastery of concepts (Elkias *et al.*, 2006; Nopriah *et al.*, 2016; Rucheng *et al.*, 2005), understand physics concepts in depth and be able to apply them in the problem-solving process (Rucheng, Yulianti, & Muhsin, 2017; Nopriah & Wulandari, 2016). In addition, increasing the quantitative understanding of the principles of physics is also the goal of learning physics (Elkias *et al.*, 2006). This is because, to master physics thoroughly, one must be able to link conceptual understanding and quantitative understanding of the principles of physics.

There are six main topics that are rarely researched in Physics education, namely: (1) **conceptual understanding**, (2) **problem-solving**, (3) **evaluation and teaching**, (4) **assessment**, (5) **cognitive psychology**, and (6) **attitudes and beliefs about teaching and learning** (8). The six topics are a single unit that is interconnected in creating science for students researching physics. However, in its development, research related to these topics is carried out separately. Each topic has a theoretical description of the important parts that must be studied continuously. It is included as first concepts so that physics is easy to discuss.

One of the important topics of the six highlighted topics is problem-solving (Ruch *et al.*, 2017). At the high school and college level, in researching physics problem-solving

skills have always been an emphasis in learning (Toussaint & Hadfield, 2007; Walsh et al., 2007). Problem solving is always highlighted in physics education because it is a key component in learning physics (Foshier & Roberts, 2012). This is because the problem solving research area is related to cognitive psychology (Buckley & Mosey, 2014) and problem-solving ability is used as a process to solve a problem when the way to solve the problem is not clear (Adams & Wernman, 2015; Foshier & Roberts, 2012; Ryan et al., 2018). Usually, physics teachers use this problem solving ability as a way of teaching physics concepts and to assess student abilities if the material has been studied (Cabrera et al., 2016). Teachers in the field of physics are usually very concerned about how students solve problems because in the problem solving process students can understand concepts and one of the strategies for assess student achievement (Adams & Wernman, 2015; Cabrera et al., 2016; Foshier & Roberts, 2012).

Five frequently asked topics related to problem solving include: (1) expert and novice problem solving, (2) problem solving examples and discussion, (3) representations used in physics problem solving, (4) mathematical ability in problem solving, (5) evaluate the effectiveness of the strategy in problem solving (Buckley & Mosey, 2014). Of the five topics, mathematical ability and representations used in problem solving are the most frequently discussed (Adams & Wernman, 2015). This is because research on representation in problem solving has a long history (Batt et al., 2007) and many assumptions assume that students' difficulties in problem solving are caused by a lack of mathematical ability. This article will discuss some research topics of physics problem solving with the main objective of explaining what students do when solving physics problems.

METHOD

This article is the result of a literature research. The literature analysis process was carried out by means of a systematic review with six stages (Jensen et al., 2012). First, do the mapping through a review of the information you want to find. Second, conduct a comprehensive search by searching electronic databases and searching using keywords. Third, assessing quality by reading the writing in full and applying a quality assessment to decide whether the writing is appropriate or not with the topic. Fourth, perform data extraction by writing the relevant data on the previously designed extraction sheet. Fifth, carry out a synthesis by combining data from each article into one. In addition, it shows what we know now and what we still need to know. Sixth, write the results of the review.

Given the breadth of problem solving research in physics, we organize the synthesis in this article on four topic areas based on previous research on problem solving physics. The four areas are: (1) the difference between experts and beginners in problem solving, (2) Physics Problem Solving Approaches, (3) the use of examples in problem solving, and (4) the use of representations in solving physics problems. In order for the presentation to be done consistently, each topic section will focus on the development of research results for each topic. Although we do not limit the year as the

reference sources for this article, most of the reference sources are taken from the journals of the last 20 years on solving physics problems.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Differences Between Expert and Novice in Problem Solving

The first research on **physics problem-solving** is by **Levengren** **how students solve physics problems and how** they are then compared to experienced people such as lecturers. There are two questions discussed in this topic, including how do the understanding procedures used by **unexperienced problem solvers** compare to those used by **experienced problem solvers**? How do experts and beginners **judge** whether the problem will be solved in the same way?

Based on the results of the synthesis there are several findings related to physics problem-solving procedures for beginners and experts. Some research results show that an expert begins to solve physics problems by describing information from the question qualitatively and using that information to determine the strategy to be used (Gholami et al., 2018; Hall et al., 2013; Yoneda et al., 2013). Meanwhile, a novice starts the problem-solving process by writing a formula that is matched with the values in the problem statement (Hall et al., 2013; Rosengren et al., 2009; Walsh et al., 2017). An expert solves problems in an organized manner and always prioritizes concepts in the process of determining answers (Optim, 2009; Rosengren et al., 2009; Nathan et al., 2012; Ramadhani & Nurca, 2022; Yusuf et al., 2022), while a novice usually focuses on variables or quantitative values in questions (Ryan et al., 2016) such as variables in the famous problem (Rosengren et al., 2009; Yoneda et al., 2013; Ochieng et al., 2012; Ratna & Nathan, 2017). Experts regard knowledge very structured while novices are not based on knowledge structures, their understanding consists of random facts and has little conceptual meaning (Perkins et al., 2006). If there are obstacles in the problem-solving process, an expert has various ways to make a plan to solve the problem, while a novice is slower in finding what alternatives if experiencing difficulties (Hall et al., 2013).

The difference between expert and novice in solving specific problems can be presented as follows (see Table 1).

Table 1. Differences Between Expert and Novice in Solving Physics Problems

Category	Characteristics in Problem Solving	References
Expert	- Experts solve problems starting with analyzing the problem qualitatively to limit the problem and get the essence of the problem - Experts summarize and solve problems based on relevant principles or basic concepts of physics - An expert applies concepts or principles of physics in solving problems in an organized manner, using strategies to	(Duck 1 et al., 2018; Hall et al., 2013; Kati et al., 2007; Rosengren et al., 2009; Ryan et al., 2016; Ramadhani et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2012; Yoneda et al., 2013)

	• Experts organize their knowledge in a coherent and interconnected manner • Experts also have strong metacognitive skills, including monitoring the progress of their solution to check whether the method they choose still has the potential to get results, as well as evaluation skills such as testing solutions against counterfactual facts • Experts have many ways and representations to make it easier to get a solution, where a series of ways leads to the right solution • Experts see the problem as a whole • Experts always have enough time to rethinking	
Novice	• Novice solves problems focusing on quantitative values and tries to match them with mathematical procedures or formulas • Novice may perform qualitative analysis, but it is not perfect and does not do it directly • Novice solves problems not based on the basic principles or concepts of physics, but based on variables and equation manipulations • Novice do not have organized knowledge to solve problems, they solve problems randomly and have little conceptual meaning • Novice has knowledge of being disconnected, weak or unconnected • Novices are very quick to get into trouble and slow to find other alternatives to solving problems • Novice believes a problem has a special way of solving it • Novice sees the problem as pieces • Novice has weaknesses in conceptual, mathematical skills, and the ability to transfer knowledge	(Duckworth et al., 2016; Hall et al., 2011; Kuhl et al., 2011; Remington et al., 2009; Ryan et al., 2009; Savadkouhi et al., 2011; Wolf et al., 2012; Yemini et al., 2009)

First-year students can be categorized as beginners in terms of solving physics problems, because they do not have much experience in solving physics problems. Based on the characteristics of a beginner in problem solving, many things need to be improved related to problem solving procedures. For this reason, it is necessary to provide appropriate interventions so that students are able to solve problems well.

The main problem that needs to be fixed is related to the application of the concept in the problem-solving process. Judging from the problem-solving category, a student who is categorized as a beginner is more focused on solving problems based on the variables contained in the given problems and mathematical process⁵ and does not apply concepts in terms of finding solutions. This is because between *often emphasize quantitative aspects of problem solving such as mathematical equations and procedures rather than qualitative analysis to select appropriate concepts and principles* (Duckworth et al., 2015). Whereas conceptual knowledge is one aspect that students must have in solving physics problems (Hidayat, 2009). In addition, *one of the important goals in learning physics is to deliver students to understand in depth the basic concepts in physics so that they are able to use them in solving problems* (Fatmahan & Wulandari, 2014). Therefore, in this section it is necessary to design interventions to direct students to apply concepts in the problem-solving process. As in Heller's research, which was based on the prescriptive theory of expert problem solving, asked students to "visualize the problem", "understand the problem", use formal concepts and equations to "represent the problem", write a "solution plan", execute the plan, and then "interpret" and "evaluation of solution" (Heller et al., 2010).

Approach in Physics Problem Solving

In finding solutions to problems, the results of⁶ several studies categorize students' ways of solving problems in four approaches, namely *Scientific approach, plug and chug, necessary based approach, and no clear approach* (Rahman, Yuliani, Muhi, et al., 2017; Wulandari et al., 2017; Yuliani et al., 2019). These approaches have their own characteristics which can be explained as follows (Wulandari et al., 2017): first is the scientific approach, students who⁷ fall into the category of using a scientific approach in problem solving believe that *the problem-solving process* by analyzing *the problems in the* problem by referring to the physics concept that involved. Next, students outline a plan to solve the problem and then identify the variables that will be used to find answers. The focus in the scientific approach is how concepts are linked and used in finding solutions. When viewed from its characteristics, the scientific approach is a form of conceptual problem solving. This is because the main characteristic of the scientific approach is that every process in finding a solution is based on the physics concepts involved (Yuliani et al., 2019).

The second is the plug and chug approach, students who solve problems using a plug and chug approach are divided into two forms, namely students who fall into the category¹⁰ of a disconnected manner plug and chug approach with the characteristics of starting *the problem-solving process by* and using *the problem by* determining *the* type of formula to be used to solve problems. Meanwhile, students¹⁰ who fall into the category of disconnected manner plug and chug approach view *the problem-solving process by* analyzing *the problem by* concentrating only on *identifying the* necessary variables. Students relate the variables given in the problem to a formula they believe can be used to solve the problem.

The third is the memory-based approach. Students who fall into the category of memory-based approach solve problems by analyzing and adjusting the problems they have encountered in the past. They solve problems by trying to remember the type of equation they used or which relates to a similar problem they have worked on before. Students who use this approach believe that the same way can solve other problems.

The fourth is the no clear approach. Students who fall into the no clear approach category solve problems with random strategies and do not refer to variables related to concepts. The focus of this process is not on the concepts involved, nor is it based on any particular method. Students tend to manipulate the given variables in a somewhat random way to provide answers. More specifically, the four methods used by students in problem solving can be distinguished as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Approaches in solving Physics problems (Khamis et al., 2017; Yuliali et al., 2018)

Category	Characteristics
Scientific approach	• Analyzing the physical situation systematically concerning to the physics concepts involved • Plan and find solution systematically based on students • Refer to concepts in the process of finding solution • Evaluating solution
Play and drag a. Random method	• Qualitative analysis of the situation based on the concept involved • Choosing solution based on common variables and procedures • Refer to the concept in the process of getting a solution • Evaluating solution
b. Formula-based method	• No system analysis based on the variables involved • Start the process by selecting a formula based on the variable in the word and given system • Refer to the concept as a variable • Not evaluating solution
Memory-based approach	• Analyze problem based on situation encountered in the past • The process starts by trying to recall the given variable with the concepts that has been given • Refer to the concepts and variable • Not evaluating solution
No clear approach	• System analysis based on given variable • The procedure starts by trying to use the variable as a random way • Ignoring variables in word • Not evaluating solution

This approach is basically used by students to find solutions to the problems given. All approaches used by students when students **to find the correct solution to the problem**, but **the** steps of the scientific approach are the first steps in the process of finding a solution to the problem (Yuliali et al., 2018). This is because the scientific approach emphasizes the application of systematic concepts and procedures in problem solving (Nofri et al., 2023).

There are several studies that show the tendency of the approach used by students in the process of solving physics problems. For example, in a research conducted by Yuliani et al (2018), when students were asked to solve electrical problems, the data showed that of the 30 prospective physics teacher students, they were more likely to solve problems using three approaches, namely unstructured manner, memory-based approach and scientific approach. Moreover, in the research conducted by Harnoto et al (2017) on energy and power materials, the results showed that most students solve problems was more likely to fall into the category of using the memory-based approach and the scientific approach. This causes most of the students to be less eager to have difficulty finding the correct solution to the problem. These studies show that students are not taught to solve problems using a scientific approach.

Use of Examples in Physics Problem Solving

The first research of physics problem solving is to explore how students use the solutions to problems they have worked on or previously solved problems to solve new problems. There are several student findings in using the examples that have been done before as a guide for solving new problems. In general, students use examples they have worked on as a benchmark to find information and solution procedures that they can copy to solve new problems they face (Dedden et al., 2016). The problem is that students do not try to understand the concepts and reasons of solving problems, then the examples given.

There are two categories of students in utilizing the examples they have worked on in solving new problems. The first category is students who use examples that have been done before as an aspect to examine the solution procedure they are working on (Perpandawati & Iqbal, 1987). Each student have a deep understanding of concepts and solutions. While the second category is students who copy the examples they have worked on before to get new problem solution (M. M. T. H., Lewis, M. N., & Burrows, R., 1998; Perpandawati & Iqbal, 1987). Students in this second category do not consider whether the new problem they are working on is the same as the previous problem they have worked on. This second category procedure in the problem solving approach is called the memory-based approach procedure, in which students who fall into the memory-based approach category solve problems by analyzing and adjusting the problems they have encountered in the past (Tahid et al., 2019). They solve problems by trying to remember the type of equation they used or which refers to a similar problem they have worked on before.

Giving examples in problem solving is one of the best ways to improve students' problem solving abilities (Radwan et al., 2017). Several studies have shown that working examples can be very effective in helping students as they try to master problem solving concepts and skills, especially in highly structured domains such as physics (Anderson et al., 2000; Radwan et al., 2017). In addition, researching examples can be much more effective than individual problem solving exercises (Radwan et al., 2017).

Use of Representations in Physics Problem Solving

One of the strategies used by experts to make it easier to solve physics problems is to use various representations. The use of representation has an important role in learning (Duckworth & Roberts, 2012). Physical scientists use various representations as a means to understand physics (McIntyre, 2005). Therefore, one aspect of problem solving that is widely discussed in the physics education community is the problem of representation (De Cock, 2012; Duckworth & Roberts, 2012). In addition, representation is also used to solve specific difficulties that students face (McIntyre, 2005).

The term *representation* has many interpretations, but in problem-solving research, representation refers to the use of drawings or sketches, specific descriptions of physics (e.g., free-body diagrams, field-line diagrams, ray diagrams, or energy bar charts), concept maps, graphs and equations, or symbolic notation (Duckworth & McIntyre, 2014). Representations have very diverse forms in which physical concepts are understood and communicated (Duckworth & Roberts, 2012; McIntyre, 2005). Such is using different representations and in understanding various representations are needed in physics, both as a tool for understanding concepts and as a means to facilitate problem solving (De Cock, 2012).

In general, students should use various representations in solving physics problems (Duckworth & Roberts, 2012; Kuhl et al., 2007). In building representations in the problem-solving process, there are several abilities that students must possess. These abilities include the ability to interpret or construct representations, the ability to transition and switch between representations accurately and quickly, and the ability to determine the appropriate representation according to the problem at hand (De Cock, 2012). In addition, the ability to present information in various modes of representation, interpret and transform information from the given description, and use this information to produce different representations are scientific skills that students need to develop (Khan et al., 2011).

Several researchers in physics education have tried to see how the representations used by students in problem solving and the effect on problem-solving abilities. Many previous studies have shown that the use of various forms of representation in teaching physics concepts has great potential benefits (McIntyre, 2005). For example, research conducted by Kuhl et al. (2007) shows that some differences arise in terms of the use of representations, namely experts are more flexible and fast in terms of finding alternative representations when they have difficulty in solving problems, and beginners such as students tend to try many representations in problem solving. Research conducted by McIntyre (McIntyre, 2005) on the relationship between a given representation format and problem-solving ability shows that students' problem-solving abilities are better when the representation format given is verbal rather than in the form of a diagram. The variability of the choice of representation affects the success of problem solving, because the success of students in solving physics problems is related to the format of the representation of the problem (Munimawati et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the literature research that has been carried out, the author has presented how the differences between experts and novices in problem solving. The difference is in the approaches used by students, the use of strategies as a basis in problem solving and the application of various representations in problem solving. In these various ways, applying the steps of an expert in solving problems, using a scientific problem-solving approach, selecting various examples that have been worked on previously experts to examine the solution procedures they are working on and selecting various representations and alternative solutions that can be used in the problem solving process. For further studies based on the literature research that has been carried out in this article, researchers can design learning to improve problem solving skills by embedding expert theory in problem solving, using scientific problem solving approach steps, applying examples, and applying various representations in learning design.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the Doctoral Program in Mathematical and Science Education and the Jember University Research and Community Service Institute who have supported, financed and participated in this research.

REFERENCES

- Adams, W. R., & Pausan, C. E. (2017). Analyzing the many skills involved in solving complex physics problems. *American Journal of Physics*, 85(7), 459-467. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4913023>.
- Akerson, R. K., Derry, S. J., Baski, A., & Wootton, D. (2000). Learning from examples: Instructional principles from the worked examples research. *Review of Educational Research*, 70(2), 181-214. <https://doi.org/10.3182/0034654X070002201>.
- Baskin, S., Wilson, D. R., Baskin, R., Day, L., & Heckler, A. F. (2017). What works with worked examples: Extending self-explanation and analogical comparison to synthesis problems. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 13(2), 1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.13.020112>.
- Basthokar, J. W., Miles, J. E., Laydon, T. J., Wong, K. D., Kim, A. V., & Wieman, C. E. (2019). Evidence for teaching and assessment of problem solving in introductory physics. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 15(1), 012111. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.15.010111>.
- Cabrera, M., Almada, J. M., & Flores, A. (2016). Design and Application of Immersive Simulations in Problem Solving in University-Level Physics Education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25(4), 500-508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-016-9615-7>.
- Chi, R. H. T., Glaser, M. W., Josselyn, P., & Glaser, M. W. (1989). *Challenging Learning Data.pdf*. In *Cognitive Science* (Vol. 13, Issue 1-2), pp. 145-182.
- De Cock, M. (2012). Representation use and strategy choice in physics problem solving. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 8(2), 1-15.

10. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.020107>
11. Duckson, J. L., Dondos, J., Pendemon, E., Miller, K., Hua, L., Jackson, K. A., Moore, A., Ryan, Q. S., & Tang, J. (2016). Assessing student written problem solutions: A problem-solving rubric with application to introductory physics. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 12(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010109>
12. Duckson, J. L., & Moore, J. P. (2014). *Synthesis of discipline-based education research in physics*. *STPER*, 1-50. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.10.020119>
13. Duckson, J. L., Ryan, Q. S., Moore, J. P., & Hua, L. H. (2011). A conceptual approach to physics problem solving. *AP Conference Proceedings*, 1299, 137-140. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3515180>
14. Duckson, J. L., Strand, N. E., Moore, J. P., & Ryan, Q. S. (2013). Unconceptual problem solving in high school physics. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 9(2), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.9.020109>
15. Erlina, E., Rantimo, A., Riihelä-Virtanen, M., Roungroun, D., Järvelin, R., & Heikin-Silver, C. P. (2016). Design and Reflection Help Students Develop Scientific Abilities: Learning in Introductory Physics Laboratories. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 26(1), 54-98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10594002.2016.1152054>
16. Erlina, E., Van Heerdeken, A., White-Beckler, S., Roungroun, D. T., Gertler, M., Martin, A., Roungroun, D., & Warren, A. (2006). Scientific abilities and their assessment. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.2.020103>
17. Ferganese, M. G. M., & Jing, T. D. (1987). On the quality of knowledge in the field of electricity and magnetism. *American Journal of Physics*, 55(4), 492-497. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.153104>
18. Finkelstein, N. D., & Pollack, S. J. (2005). Replicating and understanding successful instruction: Implementing scenarios in introductory physics. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 1(1), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.1.010101>
19. Hall, M. M., Kunt, R., Gupta, A., & Pily, A. (2011). Problem-solving rubrics revisited: Attending to the blending of informal conceptual and formal mathematical reasoning. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 7(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.7.010105>
20. Hendon, E., & Riebelin, N. S. (2012). Representational task format and problem solving strategies in kinematics and work. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 8(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.010103>
21. Kohl, P. B., Roungroun, D., & Finkelstein, N. D. (2007). Evolving strongly and weakly directed approaches to teaching multiple representations in physics. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research* (2007) vol. 3 #100018. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 3(2), 39-64. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.3.020001>
22. Lark, A. E., Redwood, E. L., Oliver, J., Swick, R., Vachey, J., & Mann, K. S. (2017). Evaluating problem solving in physics-intensive Ph.D. research. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 13(2), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.13.020101>
23. McKinn, D. E. (2005). Relation between students' problem-solving performance and representational format. *American Journal of Physics*, 73(5), 493. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1862636>
24. Mohab, M., Tamerwa, E., Rabie, A., & El, A. (2022). Investigating Problem Solving

- and Mathematical Connections in Solving the First-Order Equation. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Res.* 1(2), 8-18. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57990/ijetr.v1i2.36>
- Salim, N., Ananda, R., Ds, A., & Novdiyana, B. (2012). The Analysis of Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Mathematical Creativity : Judging from the Process of Deriving the Sum-Diur Formula. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Res.* 1(2), 8-18. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57990/ijetr.v1i2.35>
- Satya, Harnadi, C., Riani, D. P., Kartawati, W., & Idris, A. (2020). "Knowledge in person" view: Conceptual understanding analysis of pre-service physics teachers on direct current circuit in electrical circuit. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 8(1), 713-730. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.491853>
- Selonen, P., Suominen, A., & Vain, J. (2012). Relations between representational consistency, conceptual understanding, of the force concept, and scientific reasoning. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 8(1), 010122. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.010122>
- Sugito, M. G., Kartono, I., Khotimah, D., & Kurnia, K. H. (2016). Construction of inquiry learning model and computer simulation to improve mastery concept and the correlation with critical thinking skills (CTS). *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1706(2016). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4941118>
- Teglio, C. A. (2006). Changes in students' problem-solving strategies in a course that includes concept-rich, multifaceted problems. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 2(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.2.010102>
- Teitel, K., Adams, W., Debus, M., Dehnbach, N., Reid, J., Winters, C., & LeMaster, R. (2006). PACT: Interactive Simulations for Teaching and Learning Physics. *The Physics Teacher*, 44(1), 18-23. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.2350754>
- Tubun, A., & Nodda, N. (2021). Application of Model Group Investigation Based on Experiments Against Student Academic Skills. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Res.* 1(2), 8-18. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57990/ijetr.v1i2.35>
- Wanandani, F. A., & Nurya, T. (2021). The Critical Thinking Skills of Junior High School Student On Simple Machines. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Res.* 1(2), 8-18. <https://doi.org/10.57990/ijetr.v1i2.35>
- Yuliani, C., Yuliani, L., & Muhy, N. (2017). Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Model Liarik Dinamis pada Pembelajaran Guided Inquiry Berbasis PBL pada Materi Gerak 3D Berdimensi Tiga. *Jurnal Riset Dan Kajian Pendidikan Fisika*, 8(1), 40. <https://doi.org/10.17950/jkpf.v4i1.5458>
- Yuliani, C., Yuliani, L., Muhy, N., & Nuhri, N. (2017). Problem-solving approach in electrical energy and power on students as physics teacher candidates. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(1), 55-62. <https://doi.org/10.17244/jpi.v8i1.8385>
- Youngman, D., Van Heerde, A., & Ertan, E. (2009). Do students see and understand free-body diagrams? *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 5(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.5.010106>
- Zhao, Q. A., Trumbauer, R., Heller, K., Hsu, L., & Mazur, A. (2006). Computer problem-solving coaches for introductory physics: Design and usability studies. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 010101, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010101>
- Sandhu, et al. (2011). Choosing the right solution approach: The crucial role of

- situational knowledge in electricity and magnetism. *Physical Review Special Topics – Physics Education Research*, 10(1005), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1105/PhysRevSTPER.1.010005>
- Sulzberg, R. N., Gurnee, S., & Perreault, A. (2005). Probing student understanding of scientific thinking in the context of introductory astrophysics. *Physical Review Special Topics – Physics Education Research*, 1(2), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1105/PhysRevSTPER.1.020104>
- Sunyer, A. & Watters, B. (2004). Impact of a Representational Approach on Students'. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 32(September 2012), 743–766.
- Tuminaro, J., & Redish, E. F. (2007). Elements of a cognitive model of physics problem solving. *Physics Education Research*, January, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1105/PhysRevSTPER.1.010104>
- Wulch, L. N., Hestenes, B. G., & Howe, B. (2007). Phenomenographic study of students' problem solving approaches in physics. *Physical Review Special Topics – Physics Education Research*, 3(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1105/PhysRevSTPER.3.020108>
- Wu, S. F., Dougherty, D. P., & Kohnenwien, G. (2013). Rigging the deck: Solving good problems for experimenter and solving experiments. *Physical Review Special Topics – Physics Education Research*, 9(2), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1105/PhysRevSTPER.9.020110>
- Yaradacan, T., Cohen, E., Heller, K., Heller, P., & Hestenes, B. (2011). Instructional reasons for choosing problem features in a calculus-based introductory physics course. *Physical Review Special Topics – Physics Education Research*, 7(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1105/PhysRevSTPER.7.020106>
- Yellin, L., Ruckenstein, C., & Matis, N. (2013). Problem solving skills on direct current electricity through inquiry-based learning with PSET simulations. *International Journal of Innovation*, 12(4), 123–138. <https://doi.org/10.1207/sij.2013.1246>
- Yusef, Y., El, A., & Kandi, E. (2002). The Understanding Mathematics of Communication Concepts and Skills: Analysis of the Ability of Prospective Physics Teachers? *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31942/ijte.v1i2.34>

Analysis of Student Problem Solving Processes in Physics

ORIGINALITY REPORT

11 %

SIMILARITY INDEX

8 %

INTERNET SOURCES

7 %

PUBLICATIONS

1 %

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

[apsce.net](https://www.apsce.net)

Internet Source

1 %

2

Bashirah Ibrahim, N. Sanjay Rebello.
"Representational task formats and problem
solving strategies in kinematics and work",
Physical Review Special Topics - Physics
Education Research, 2012

Publication

1 %

3

e-journal.usd.ac.id

Internet Source

1 %

4

[studylib.net](https://www.studylib.net)

Internet Source

1 %

5

H Safitri, I Hamidah, W Setiawan, I Kaniawati.
"Problem Solving Ability of Distance Education
Student on Electrostatic Topic", Journal of
Physics: Conference Series, 2021

Publication

1 %

6

Nuri Balta, Mervi Asikainen. "Introductory
students' attitudes and approaches to Physics
problem solving: Major, achievement level

1 %

and gender differences", Journal of
Technology and Science Education, 2019
Publication

7	ucf.digital.flvc.org Internet Source	1 %
8	Submitted to De La Salle University - Manila Student Paper	1 %
9	www.coursehero.com Internet Source	1 %
10	ccsenet.org Internet Source	1 %
11	digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu Internet Source	1 %
12	www.diva-portal.org Internet Source	1 %
13	www.csuperb.org Internet Source	1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches < 1%