

REFERENSI

- Aan, C., & Lee, L. (2014). A corpus of sentence-level revisions in academic writing: A step towards understanding statement strength in communication. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.1439*.
- Abduh, N. K., Sastromiharjo, A., & Anshori, D. S. (2019). Pola Argumentasi pada Genre Teks Eksposisi Karangan Siswa SMA. *RETORIKA: Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra, dan Pengajarannya*, 12(1), 71-84.
- Adams & Buetow. (2014). The Palca of Theory in Assembling The Central Argument Fo a Thesis or Dissertation. *Theory & Psychology*, 24(1) 93-110. DOI: 10.1177/0959354313517523
- Adler-Kassner, L., Majewski, J., & Koshnick, D. (2012). The value of troublesome knowledge: Transfer and threshold concepts in writing and history. *Composition Forum*, 26(2). Retrieved from <http://compositionforum.com/issue/26/troublesome-knowledgethreshold.php>
- Adler-Kassner, L., & Wardle, E. (Eds.). (2015). Naming what we know: Threshold concepts of writing studies. Boulder, CO: University Press of Colorado.
- Al Fadda, H. (2012). Difficulties in Academic Writing: From the Perspective of King Saud University Postgraduate Students. *English Language Teaching*, 5(3), 123-130.
- Alwasilah, A. Chaedar. 2007. *Pokoknya Menulis*. Bandung: Kiblat
- Applebee, A. N. (2013). Common Core State Standards: The promise and the peril in a national palimpsest. *English Journal*, 103(1), 25-33.
- Ates, S., & Cataloglu, E. (2007). The effects of students' reasoning abilities on conceptual understandings and problem-solving skills in introductory mechanics. *European Journal of Physics*, 28(6), 1161–1171.
- Bathgate, M., Crowell, A., Schunn, C., Cannady, M., & Dorph, R. (2015). The learning benefits of being willing and able to engage in scientific argumentation. *International Journal of Science Education*, 37(10), 1590-1612. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1045958>
- Backman, Y., Reznitskaya, A., Gardelli, V., & Wilkinson, I. A. (2023). Beyond structure: Using the rational force model to assess argumentative writing. *Written Communication*, 40(2), 555-585. DOI: [10.1177/07410883221148664](https://doi.org/10.1177/07410883221148664)

- Baum, S., Ma, J., & Payea, K. (2010). *Education Pays, 2010: The Benefits of Higher Education for Individuals and Society*. Trends in Higher Education Series. *College Board Advocacy & Policy Center*.
- Bazerman, C., Applebee, A. N., Berninger, V. W., Brandt, D., Graham, S., Matsuda, P. K., & Schleppegrell, M. (2017). Taking the long view on writing development. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 51(3), 351-360.
- Budiyono, H. & Aryani, P.T., (2016). Pengaruh Penerapan Model Peta Konsep dan Penalaran terhadap Kemampuan Menulis Esai Mahasiswa. *Bahasa dan Seni: Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra, Seni, dan Pengajarannya*, 44(1)
- Budiyono, H. (2012). Pembelajaran Keterampilan Menulis Berbasis Proses Menulis dan Teori Pemerolehan Bahasa. *Pena: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra*, 2(1), 1-13.
- Budiyono, H. (2020). Kualitas Paragraf pada Tulisan Esai Argumentatif dan Pola Penalarannya: Kajian di Sekolah Menengah Atas (SMA). *Pena : Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra*, 10(1).
<https://doi.org/10.22437/pena.v10i1.10233>
- Cho, J. Y., & Lee, E. H. (2014). Reducing confusion about grounded theory and qualitative content analysis: Similarities and differences. *The qualitative report*, 19(32), 1-20.
- Chase, B. J. (2011). *An analysis of the argumentative writing skills of academically underprepared college students* (Doctoral dissertation, Teachers College).
- Creswell, J. W. (2010). *Research design pendekatan kualitatif, kuantitatif, dan mixed*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Dawud, D. (2009). PENALARAN DALAM KARYA TULIS POPULER ARGUMENTATIF. *Bahasa dan Seni*, 36(1).
- Dawud. 2010. "Pembelajaran Berargumentasi Tulis Bahasa Indonesia". Pidato Pengukuhan Guru Besar pada Bidang Ilmu Pembelajaran Bahasa pada Fakultas Sastra Disampaikan pada Sidang Terbuka Senat Universitas Negeri Malang, 30 September 2010. Tidak diterbitkan. Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang.
- Deane, P., & Song, Y. (2014). A case study in principled assessment design: Designing assessments to measure and support the development of argumentative reading and writing skills. *Psicología Educativa*, 20(2), 99-108.

- Duncan, R. G., & Tseng, K. A. (2010). Designing project-based instruction to foster generative and mechanistic understanding in genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47(6), 670-695
- Dawson, V., & Carson, K. (2018). Introducing argumentation about climate change socioscientific issues in a disadvantaged school. *Research in Science Education*, 50, 863–883. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-018-9715-x>
- Dwyer, C. P., Hogan, M. J., & Stewart, I. 2012. An evaluation of argument mapping as a method of enhancing critical thinking performance in e-learning environments. *Metacognition and Learning*, 7(3), 219-244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-012-9092-1>
- Erduran, S. (2007). Methodological foundations in the study of argumentation in science classrooms. In S. Erduran & M. P. Jiménez-Aleixandre (Eds.), *Argumentation in science education: Perspectives from classroom-based research* (pp. 47–70). Springer.
- Fisher, Alec. 2009. *Berpikir Kritis: Sebuah Pengantar*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Ferretti, R. P., MacArthur, C. A., & Dowdy, N. S. 2000. The Effects of An Elaborated Goal on the Persuasive Writing of Students with Learning Disabilities and Their Normally Achieving Peers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 92:694-702, doi:10.1037/113022-0663.92.4.694.
- Granado-Peinado, M., Cuevas, I., Olmos, R., Martín, E., Casado-Ledesma, L., & Mateos, M. (2023). Collaborative writing of argumentative syntheses by low-performing undergraduate writers: Explicit instruction and practice. *Reading and Writing*, 36(4), 909-936. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-022-10318-x>
- Gray, R., & Kang, N.-H. (2014). The structure of scientific arguments by secondary science teachers: Comparison of experimental and historical science topics. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36(1), 46–65. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2012.715779>
- Hamdani, D., & Subarinah, S. (2020). Argumen Deduktif Mahasiswa dalam Mengonstruksi Bukti. In *Proceeding National Conference: Education, Social, Science, and Humaniora* (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 21-32).
- Harjono, H. S. (2014). Intensi-Ekstensi Konsep Dalam Argumen Kependidikan: Analisis Tekstual. *Pena : Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra*, 1(1)
- Hsu, C. C., Chiu, C. H., Lin, C. H., & Wang, T. I. (2015). Enhancing skill in constructing scientific explanations using a structured argumentation scaffold in scientific inquiry. *Computers & Education*, 91, 46-59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.09.009>

- Hunter, A., & Potyka, N. (2023). Syntactic reasoning with conditional probabilities in deductive argumentation. *Artificial Intelligence*, 321, 103934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artint.2023.103934>
- Horng, R. Y., Lu, P. H., Chen, P. H., & Hou, S. H. (2013). The effects of argument stance on scientific knowledge inquiry skills. *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(16), 2784-2800. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2012.671558>
- James, M., & Merickel, A. (2011). *Reading Literature and Writing Argument 04th*. Longman.
- Kathpalia, S. S., & See, E. K. (2016). Improving Argumentation Through Student Blogs. *System*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2016.03.002>
- Keraf, Gorys. 2010. *Argumentasi dan Narasi*. Jakarta: PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Khun, D. 2010. Teaching and learning science as argument. Wiley Periodicals, Inc. *Sci Ed*. 94: 810-824.
- Kondracki, N. L., Wellman, N. S., & Amundson, D. R. (2002). Content analysis: Review of methods and their applications in nutrition education. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 34(4), 224-230.
- Krajcik, J., McNeill, K. L., & Reiser, B. J. (2008). Learning-goals-driven design model: Developing curriculum materials that align with national standards and incorporate project-based pedagogy. *Science Education*, 92(1), 1-32.
- Lavery, M. R., Bostic, J. D., Kruse, L., Krupa, E. E., & Carney, M. B. (2020). Argumentation Surrounding Argument-Based Validation: A Systematic Review of Validation Methodology in Peer-Reviewed Articles. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 39(4), 116–130.
- Lin, Y. R. (2018). The influences of contextualized media on students' science attitudes, knowledge, and argumentation learning through online game-based activities. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 34(6), 884–898. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12297>
- Mansyur, U. (2016). Inovasi pembelajaran bahasa indonesia melalui pendekatan proses. *RETORIKA: Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra, dan Pengajarannya*, 9(2).
- McNeill, K. L., & Krajcik, J. (2007). Middle school students' use of appropriate and inappropriate evidence in writing scientific explanations. *Thinking with data*, 233-265.
- McNeill, K.L. 2011. Elementary Student's Views of Explanation, Arrgumentation, Evidence, and Their Abilities to Construct Arguments

- Over The School Year. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 48(7): 793-823.
- McNeill, K. L., & Martin, D. M. (2011). Claims, Evidence, and Reasoning: Demystifying data during a unit on simple machines. *Science and Children*, 48(8), 52-56.
- Morgan, D. N., & Pytash, K. E. (2014). Preparing preservice teachers to become teachers of writing: A 20-year review of the research literature. *English Education*, 47(1), 6-37.
- Mufidah, I. R. (2020). The Quality of Arguments Made by EFL University Students in Their Conceptual Papers. *RETAIN*, 8(4).
- Murray, R., & Moore, S. (2006). *The handbook of academic writing: A fresh approach*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Nakrowi, Z. S., & Mulyati, Y. (2021). EVALUASI KUALITAS ARGUMEN PADA ARTIKEL JURNAL. *LITERA*, 20(1), 86-105. <https://doi.org/10.21831/ltr.v20i1.34287>
- Nesi, A., & Iku, P. F. (2021). Model Argumen Paragraf Argumentatif Dalam Artikel Jurnal Terindeks Sinta Rumpun Pendidikan Eksakta: Perspektif Douglas Walton. *JIPD (Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Dasar)*, 5(1), 36-47. <https://doi.org/10.36928/jipd.v5i1.678>
- Nesi, A., Subyantoro, S., & Pristiwati, R. (2022). Variasi argumen saksi dalam pembelajaran menulis ilmiah bagi mahasiswa. *Fon: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra Indonesia*, 18(1), 36-46. <https://doi.org/10.25134/fon.v18i1.4739>
- Netti, S., Sutawidjaja, A., & Mulyati, S. (2017). Skema Berpikir Mahasiswa Ketika Mengonstruksi Bukti Matematis. *Prosiding SI MaNIs (Seminar Nasional Integrasi Matematika Dan Nilai Islami)*, 1(1), 547-555.
- Nielsen, J. A. (2013). Dialectical features of students' argumentation: A critical review of argumentation studies in science education. *Research in Science Education*, 43(1), 371-393. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-011-9266-x>
- Nurmahanani, I. (2016). Penerapan Strategi Metakognisi dan Berpikir Kritis dalam Menulis Argumentasi pada Mahasiswa PGSD UPI Kampus Purwakarta. *Metodik Didaktik*, 10(2).
- Nussbaum, M. E., & Kardash, C. M. 2005. The Effects of Goal Instructions and Text on the Generation of Counterarguments During Writing. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 97:157-169.

- Osanloo, A., & Grant, C. (2016). Understanding, selecting, and integrating a theoretical framework in dissertation research: Creating the blueprint for your “house”. *Administrative issues journal: connecting education, practice, and research*, 4(2), 7.
- Popper, K. (2005). *The logic of scientific discovery*. Routledge.
- Probosari, R. M., Ramli, M., Harlita, H., Indrowati, M., & Sajidan, S. (2016). Profil keterampilan argumentasi ilmiah mahasiswa pendidikan biologi fkip uns pada mata kuliah anatomi tumbuhan. *Bioedukasi: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 9(1), 29-33. <https://doi.org/10.20961/bioedukasi-uns.v9i1.3880>
- Riel, J., Lawless, K. A., & Oren, J. B., (2020). Comparisons of synchronous and asynchronous discussions in an online roleplaying simulation to teach middle school written argumentation skills. *Online Learning*, 26(4), 146-167. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v26i4.3468>
- Robillos, R. J. & Thongpai, J. (2022). Computer-aided argument mapping within metacognitive approach: its impact on students’ argumentative writing performance and self-regulated learning. *LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network*, 15(2), 160-186.
- Robertshaw, B., & Campbell, T. (2013). Constructing arguments: Investigating pre-service science teachers' argumentation skills in a socio-scientific context. *Science Education International*, 24(2), 195-211.
- Rottenberg, A. T., & Winchell, D. H. (2011). *The structure of argument*. Macmillan.
- Roviati, E., & Widodo, A. (2019). Kontribusi Argumentasi Ilmiah dalam Pengembangan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis. *Titian Ilmu: Jurnal Ilmiah Multi Sciences*, 11(2), 56-66. <https://doi.org/10.30599/jti.v11i2.454>
- Samosa, R. C. (2021). EFFECTIVENESS OF CLAIM, EVIDENCE AND REASONING AS AN INNOVATION TO DEVELOP STUDENTS’SCIENTIFIC ARGUMENTATIVE WRITING SKILLS. *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, 9(05), 135-150. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/2PBWU>
- Sampson, V., Enderle, P., Grooms, J., & Witte, S. (2013). Writing to Learn by Learning to Write During the School Science Laboratory: Helping Middle and High School Students Develop Argumentative Writing Skills as They Learn Core Ideas. *Science Education*, 97(5), 643-670. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21069>

- Sadler, T. D., Burgin, S., McKinney, L., & Ponjuan, L. (2010). Learning science through research apprenticeships: A critical review of the literature. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47(3), 235-256.
- Sampson, V. 2011. Argument-Driven Inquiry as a Way to Help Student Learn How to Participate in Scientific Argumentation and Craft Written Arguments: An Exploratory Study. Wiley Periodical. (Online), (wileyonlinebrary.com), diakses 27 Maret 2020.
- Scheuer, O., McLaren, B. M., Weinberger, A., & Niebuhr, S. (2014). Promoting critical, elaborative discussions through a collaboration script and argument diagrams. *Instructional Science: An International Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 42, 127–157. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-013-9274-5>
- Setyaningsih, Y. 2016. Pola Argumen Paragraf Argumentatif pada Artikel Jurnal Terakreditasi Bidang Ekonomi (Perspektif Stephen Toulmin). *Adabiyāt: Jurnal Bahasa dan Sastra*, 15(2), 136-156. <https://doi.org/10.14421/ajbs.2016.15202>
- Setiawati, I., & Nurlaelah, I. (2017). Analisis Profil Kemampuan Berargumentasi Guru dan Mahasiswa Calon Guru dalam Pembelajaran Biologi Menggunakan Model Toulmin's Argumen Pattern (TAP) dan Upaya Perbaikannya. *Quagga: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Biologi*, 9(01).
- Seyler, Dorothy U. 2012. Read, Reason, Write: An Argument Text and Reader. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Stapleton, P., & Wu, Y. (Amy). (2015). Assessing the Quality of Arguments in Students' Persuasive Writing: a Case Study Analyzing the Relationship Between Surface Structure and Substance. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*. 17:12-23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2014.11.006>
- Syaifudin, A., & Utami, S. P. T. (2011). Penalaran argumen siswa dalam wacana tulis argumentatif sebagai upaya membudayakan berpikir kritis di SMA. *Lingua*, 7(1).
- Syaifudin, A., & Pratama, H. (2013). Pengembangan buku teks menulis argumentasi berdasarkan pola penalaran argumentatif. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 30(1).
- Toulmin, S. E. (2003). *The uses of argument*. Cambridge university press.
- Troyka, L. Q., & D. Hesse, Simon & Schuster Handbook for Writers. (2006). *Guidelines for Academic Writing*. Fourth Canadian Edition. Toronto: Pearson Prentice Hall.

- Tinberg, H. (2015). Reconsidering transfer knowledge at the community college: Challenges and opportunities. *Teaching English in the Two-Year College*, 43(1), 7-31.
- Unwakoly, S. (2022). Berpikir Kritis Dalam Filsafat Ilmu: Kajian Dalam Ontologi, Epistemologi dan Aksiologi. *Jurnal filsafat indonesia*, 5(2), 95-102. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jfi.v5i2.42561>
- Walton, Douglas. 2006. *Fundamental of Critical Argumentation*. Ccambidge: Ccambidge University Press.
- Wardani, A. D., Yuliati, L., & Taufiq, A. (2018). Kualitas argumentasi ilmiah siswa pada materi hukum Newton. *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, dan Pengembangan*, 3(10), 1364-1372. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17977/jptpp.v3i10.11734>
- Weston, A. 2007. *Kaidah Berargumentasi*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Whithaus, C. (2012). Claim-evidence structures in environmental science writing: Modifying Toulmin's model to account for multimodal arguments. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 21(2), 105-128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10572252.2012.641431>
- Wuisman, J. J. (2013). *Penelitian Ilmu Sosial Meneladani Pendekatan Sistemis*. Yayasan Pustaka Obor Indonesia.
- Yugianingrum. (2010). Producing an English Academic Paper: Process, Problems, and Solutions. *US-China Foreign Language*, 8, 39-49.