

REFERENCES

- Agassy, F. S., Harmanto, B., & Indriastuti, N. R. (2020). Improving early childhood students' english vocabulary by using animal pop up slide media in tk aisyyah dolopo. *Edupedia*, 4(1), 65-74.
- Agnetta, P. M., Kiende, G. H., & Ogulu, O. N. (2022). Influence of Teacher Resource Utilization of Students Academic Performance in Public Secondary Schools in Makueni County, Kenya. *Journal of Education*, 2(4), 11-25.
- Aliaga Salas, L. A. (2017). *Teacher educators' cognitions during the implementation of an innovative pre-service English teaching programme in Chile* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Leeds).
- Allwood, J. S. (1990). *On the role of cultural content and cultural context in language instruction* (Vol. 60). University of Gothenburg, Department of Linguistics.
- Al-Mahrooqi, R., & Denman, C. (2018). Alternative assessment. *The TESOL encyclopedia of English language teaching*, 10, 9781118784235.
- Al-Rodhan, N. R., & Stoudmann, G. (2006). Definitions of globalization: A comprehensive overview and a proposed definition. *Program on the geopolitical implications of globalization and transnational security*, 6(1-21).
- Anggraini, N. S. S., Munir, A., & Purwati, O. (2023). The Implementation of CLIL at Primary School: Teacher's Perspectives and Challenges. *EDUKASIA: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran*, 4(2), 991-1000.
- Baker, C. (2011). Foundations of bilingual education and bilingualism. Multilingual matters.
- Banegas, D. L. (2012). CLIL teacher development: Challenges and experiences. *Latin American Journal of Content & Language Integrated Learning*, 5(1), 46-56.
- Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., & Kucan, L. (2013). *Bringing words to life: Robust vocabulary instruction*. Guilford Press.
- Bentley, L. D. (2009). *Systems analysis & desain method*. McGraw- Hill.
- Brenner, M. E. (2012). Interviewing in educational research. In *Handbook of complementary methods in education research* (pp. 357-370). Routledge.
- Burns, A. (2010). *Doing Action Research in English Language Teaching: A Guide for Practitioners*. Routledge.
- Cameron, L. (2003). Challenges for ELT from the expansion in teaching children. *ELT journal*, 57(2), 105-112.
- Castillo-Rodriguez, C., & Fernández, B. P. (2022). Cooperative learning in the CLIL classroom: Challenges perceived by teachers and recommendations for Primary Education. *Educatio Siglo XXI*, 40(1), 79-106.
- Chionis, M., Dertili, D., Kynigou, I., & Xanthakou, E. (2017). Implementing CLIL in a Greek secondary school setting: A suggestion for good teaching practices. *Research Papers in Language Teaching and Learning*, 8(2), 63.
- Coyle, D. (2007). CLIL: A pedagogical approach from the European perspective. *European Journal of Education*, 42(2), 85-94.
- Coyle-Shapiro, J. A., & Parzefall, M. (2008). Psychological contracts. *The SAGE*

- handbook of organizational behavior*, 1, 17-34.
- Coyle, D., Hood, P., & Marsh, D. (2010). CLIL: Content and language integrated learning. Cambridge university press.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (4th ed.). MA: Pearson.
- Davies, A. (2004). The native speaker in applied linguistics. *The handbook of applied linguistics*, 431-450.
- Domede, A. (2023). Code-Switching as a Teaching Strategy in English Language Classrooms: Exploring Students Attitudes and Perceptions. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 15(4), 1-1.
- Dukare, D. A. (2020). Concept and types of digital resources, What are the benefits of consortia approach in collection development. *IP Indian Journal of Library Science and Information Technology*, 5(1), 46-49.
- Dzulkurnain, M., Irianto, S., Rasmita, R., Uktolseja, L., Hartono, W., & Manurung, T. (2024). Understanding the benefits and challenges of Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) in English education: a literature synthesis. *Journal on Education*. 6. 18941-18953.
- Echevarria, J., Vogt, M., & Short, D. (2010). Making content comprehensible for elementary English learners. *The SIOP Model*.
- Feddermann, M., Möller, J., & Baumert, J. (2021). Effects of CLIL on second language learning: Disentangling selection, preparation, and CLIL- effects. *Learning and Instruction*, 74, 101459.
- Flick, U. (2017). The Sage handbook of qualitative data collection.
- Gjendemsjø, M. O. (2013). *A case study of a content and language integrated learning (clil) project in a 9th grade efl class in norway* (Master's thesis, University of Stavanger, Norway).
- Glazewski, K. D., & Hmelo-Silver, C.E. (2019). Instructors conceptualization and implementation of scaffolding in online higher education courses. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 31(2), 363-386.
- Hatch, E., & Brown, C. (1995). *Vocabulary, semantics, and language education*. Cambridge University Press, 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211 (hardback: ISBN-0-521-47409-4; paperback: ISBN-0-521-47942-8).
- Hjørland, B. (2023). Terminology. *KO KNOWLEDGE ORGANIZATION*, 50(2), 111-127.
- Harrop, E. (2012). Content and language integrated learning (CLIL): Limitations and possibilities. *Online Submission*.
- Isaacs, A. N. (2014). An overview of qualitative research methodology for public health researchers. *Int. J. Med. Public Health* 4, 318 – 323.
- Iswanda, M. L., & Furnamasari, Y. F. (2023). Analisis tugas dan kemampuan seorang guru kelas dalam menyikapi perbedaan karakter siswa sekolah dasar. *Inspirasi Dunia: Jurnal Riset Pendidikan dan Bahasa*, 2(3), 84-90.
- Jackson, J. (2019). *Introducing language and intercultural communication*. Routledge.
- Kazakov, G. (2021). Language education: problems and possible solutions. *Linguistics & Polyglot Studies*. 7. 18-23. 10.24833/2410-2423-2021-4-28-18-23.
- Klassen, A. C., Creswell, J., Plano Clark, V. L., Smith, K. C., & Meissner, H.

- I. (2012). Best practices in mixed methods for quality of life research. *Quality of life Research*, 21, 377-380.
- Koshy, V. (2005). *Action research for improving practice: A practical guide*. Sage.
- Kvale, S. (1996). *InterViews*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Li, L., Huang, F., Chen, S., Pan, L., Zeng, W., & Wu, X. (2020). Exploring the Curriculum Development in Content and Language Integrated Learning: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 9(4), 1102-1113.
- Linse, C. T. (2005). *Practical English language teaching: Young learners*. McGraw-Hill.
- Marsh, D. (2002). *CLIL/EMILE: The European Dimension – Actions, Trends, and Reflections*. University of Jyväskylä.
- Marsh, D. (2007). *Diverse contexts, converging goals: CLIL in Europe* (p. 32). D. Wolff (Ed.). Frankfurt: Peter Lang.
- Marsh, D., & Frigols, M. (2012). Content and language integrated learning (CLIL). *A Development Trajectory*. University of Cordoba.
- Masita, E. (2023). Multiculturalism and Multicultural Education in Kurikulum Merdeka. *English Language and Literature International Conference (ELLiC)*, 6, 473-486
- Massler, U. (2011). Assessment in CLIL learning. *Guidelines for CLIL implementation in primary and pre-primary education*, 114-136.
- Mackay, P. (2006). *Assessing young language learners*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mehisto, P., Frigols, M. J., & Marsh, D. (2008). *Uncovering CLIL*. Macmillan Education.
- Meng, Qi & Morvan, Vincent & Elhami, Ali & Roshan, Anita. (2021). *The Challenges and Expectations for Higher Education*.
- Metlí, A., & Akis, D. (2022). Challenges and strategies on the Content and Language Integrated Learning approach (CLIL): A case study from the Turkish context. *Latin American Journal of Content & Language Integrated Learning*, 15(1), e1514-e1514.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1984). Drawing valid meaning from qualitative data: Toward a shared craft. *Educational researcher*, 13(5), 20-30.
- Moleong, L. J. (2011). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*, cetakan XXIX. PT. Remaja, Rosdakarya.
- Morton, M. A. (2013). Practicing Principles of Community Engaged Scholarship in a fourth-year seminar. *Teaching and Learning Innovations*, 16.
- Napolitano, C. M., & Freund, A. M. (2016). On the use and usefulness of backup plans. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 11(1), 56-73.
- Peng, M. I. A. O. (2023). "Language Ability": A key concept in foreign language education. *12(21)*, 471-475.
- Pinter, A. (2017). *Teaching young language learners*. Oxford University Press.
- Porc, G., & Bundy, L. (2020). The effectiveness of CLIL for English language learning in Swedish primary schools.
- Qizi, S. N. S. (2024). Teaching english to young learners using CLIL method in class. *International Journal of Pedagogics*, 4(06), 161-164.

- Rabiah, S. (2018). Language as a tool for communication and cultural reality discloser.
- Rafiei, N. & Davari, F. (2015). Role of HRM in enhancing the teaching skills of faculty members. *Mater Sociomed. Directory for Medical Articles. PlumX Metrics*. 27(1), 35-38.
- Sarvinoz, S. (2023). Teaching English Grammar to Young Learners Through CLIL Method. *Texas Journal of Philology, Culture and History*, 21, 5-8.
- Saturnus, M., Margana, M., & Nafiah, S. U. (2024). The Challenges Faced by the Teacher in Clil Classroom: Case Study at Tumbuh Senior High School Yogyakarta. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 11(6), 47-53.
- Savic, V., & Shin, J. K. (2013). Contextualising language learning through stories in Serbia.
- Setiawan, R. (2023). Content and language integrated learning (c) implementation (CLIL) for Indonesian EFL learners: A case study. *SAGA: Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, 4(2), 89-100.
- Siqueira, D. S. P., Landau, J., & Paraná, R. A. (2018). Innovations and challenges in CLIL implementation in South America. *Theory into Practice*, 57(3), 196-203.
- Smith, R. (2021). Classroom strategies and their impact on student learning. *International Journal of Pedagogical Studies*, 52(1), 78-94.
- Spratt, E. G., Friedenberg, S. L., Swenson, C. C., LaRosa, A., De Bellis, M. D., Macias, M. M., ... & Brady, K. T. (2012). The effects of early neglect on cognitive, language, and behavioral functioning in childhood. *Psychology (Irvine, Calif.)*, 3(2), 175.
- Subedi, K. R. (2021). Determining the Sample in Qualitative Research. *Online Submission*, 4, 1-13
- Suwannoppharat, K. & Chinokul, S. (2015). Applying CLIL to English language teaching in Thailand: Issues and challenges. *Latin American Journal of Content and Language Integrated Learning*, 8(2), 237-254.
- Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2011). Cognitive load. *Learning Difficulty, and Instructional Design, burning andInstruction*, 4(4), 295-3.
- Tracy, S. J. (2024). Qualitative research methods: Collecting evidence, crafting analysis, communicating impact. John Wiley & Sons.
- Wannagat, U. (2007). Learning through L2–content and language integrated learning (CLIL) and English as medium of instruction (EMI). *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 10(5), 663-682.
- Whitehead, D., & Whitehead, L. (2020). Data collection and sampling in qualitative research. *Nursing and Midwifery Research Methods and Appraisal for Evidence-Based Practice*. 6th Edition. Sydney: Elsevier, 118-135.
- Wolff, D. (2009). 20. Content and language integrated learning. *Handbook of foreign language communication and learning*, 545.
- Xanthou, M. (2011). The impact of CLIL on L2 vocabulary development and content knowledge. *English Teaching: Practice and Critique*, 10(4), 116-126. Retrieved from <http://education.waikato.ac.nz/research/files/etpc/files/2011v10n4art7.pdf>

Yavuz, A.C. (2020). Teachers' perceptions and needs of CLIL: A collective case study from Turkey. *International Journal of Education*, 9(1), 9-103.