

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Afriana, J., Permanasari, A., & Fitriani, A. (2016). Project based learning integrated to stem to enhance elementary school's students scientific literacy. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 5(2), 261–267. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v5i2.5493>
- Amelia, R., Supriyono Koes, H., & Muhardjito. (2016). The influence of V diagram procedural scaffolding in group investigation towards students with high and low prior knowledge. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 5(1), 109–115. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v5i1.5799>
- Aydin, Y. (2014). The Effects of Problem Based Approach on Student's Conceptual Understanding in a University Mathematics Classroom. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 152, 704–707. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.09.307>
- Arzak, K. A., & Prahani, B. K. (2023). The physics problem solving skills profile of high school students in elasticity material and the implementation of augmented reality book-assisted PBL model. *Momentum: Physics Education Journal*, 7(1), 66–77. <https://doi.org/10.21067/mpej.v7i1.6704>
- Adams, W. K., & Wieman, C. E. (2015). Analyzing the many skills involved in solving complex physics problems. *American Journal of Physics*, 83(5), 459–467. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4913923>
- Adler, I., Zion, M., & Rimerman-Shmueli, E. (2019). Fostering Teachers' Reflections on the Dynamic Characteristics of Open Inquiry through Metacognitive Prompts. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 30(7), 763–787. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1627060>
- An, Y.-J., & Cao, L. (2014). Examining the Effects of Metacognitive Scaffolding on Students' Design Problem Solving and Metacognitive Skills in an Online Environment. *Journal of Online Learning & Teaching*, 10(4), 552–568. <http://proxy.lib.odu.edu/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ehh&AN=100728963&site=eds-live&scope=site>
- Anderson, D., & Clark, M. (2012). Development of syntactic subject matter knowledge and pedagogical content knowledge for science by a generalist elementary teacher. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, 18(3), 315–330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2012.629838>
- Arslan, A. (2014). Transition between Open and Guided Inquiry Instruction.

Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 141, 407–412.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.05.071>

- Anderman, E. M., Sinatra, G. M., & Gray, D. L. L. (2012). The challenges of teaching and learning about science in the twenty-first century: exploring the abilities and constraints of adolescent learners. *Studies in Science Education*, 48(1), 89–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2012.655038>
- Ardiyati, T. K., Wilujeng, I., Kuswanto, H., & Jumadi. (2019). The Effect of Scaffolding Approach Assisted by PhET Simulation on the Achievement of Science Process Skills in Physics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1233(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1233/1/012035>
- Batuyong, C. T., & Antonio, V. V. (2018). Exploring the Effect of PhET ® Interactive Simulation-Based Activities on Students' Performance and Learning Experiences in Electromagnetism. *Asia Pacific Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(2), 121–131. www.apjmr.com
- Bing, T. J., & Redish, E. F. (2009). Analyzing problem solving using math in physics: Epistemological framing via warrants. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 5(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevstper.5.020108>
- Barron, B. J. S., Schwartz, D. L., Vye, N. J., Moore, A., Petrosino, A., Zech, L., & Bransford, J. D. (1998). Doing with Understanding: Lessons from Research on Problem- and Project-Based Learning. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 7(3–4), 271–311. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508406.1998.9672056>
- Balta, N., Mason, A. J., & Singh, C. (2016). Surveying Turkish high school and university students' attitudes and approaches to physics problem solving. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 12(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010129>
- Bao, L., & Koenig, K. (2019). Physics education research for 21st century learning. *Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Science Education Research*, 1(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43031-019-0007-8>
- Barak, M., & Assal, M. (2018). Robotics and STEM learning: students' achievements in assignments according to the P3 Task Taxonomy—practice, problem solving, and projects. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 28(1), 121–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-016-9385-9>
- Bell, T., Urhahne, D., Schanze, S., & Ploetzner, R. (2010). Collaborative inquiry

- learning: Models, tools, and challenges. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(3), 349–377. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690802582241>
- Belland, B. R. (2014). *Debates , and Future Directions*. 505–518. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3185-5>
- Brown, B. R., Mason, A., & Singh, C. (2016). Improving performance in quantum mechanics with explicit incentives to correct mistakes. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 12(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010121>
- Bunterm, T., Lee, K., Ng Lan Kong, J., Srikoon, S., Vangpoomyai, P., Rattanaovongsa, J., & Rachahoon, G. (2014). Do Different Levels of Inquiry Lead to Different Learning Outcomes? A comparison between guided and structured inquiry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36(12), 1937–1959. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2014.886347>
- Belland, B. R. (2017). *Instructional Scaffolding in STEM Education*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02565-0>
- Belland, B. R., Kim, C. M., & Hannafin, M. J. (2013). A Framework for Designing Scaffolds That Improve Motivation and Cognition. *Educational Psychologist*, 48(4), 243–270. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2013.838920>
- Belland, B. R., Walker, A. E., Olsen, M. W., & Leary, H. (2015). A pilot meta-analysis of computer-based scaffolding in STEM education. *Educational Technology and Society*, 18(1), 183–197.
- Bergeron, L., & Gordon, M. (2017). Establishing a STEM Pipeline: Trends in Male and Female Enrollment and Performance in Higher Level Secondary STEM Courses. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 15(3), 433–450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-015-9693-7>
- Bikmaz, F. H., Çelebi, Ö., Ata, A., Özer, E., Soyak, Ö., & Reçber, H. (2010). Scaffolding Strategies Applied by Student Teachers to Teach Mathematics. *Educational Research Association The International Journal of Research in Teacher Education The International Journal of Research in Teacher Education*, 1(1), 25–36.
- Bilgin, I. (2009). The effects of guided inquiry instruction incorporating a cooperative learning approach on university students' achievement of acid and bases concepts and attitude toward guided inquiry instruction. *Scientific*

Research and Essays, 4(10), 1038–1046.

- Breiner, J. M., Harkness, S. S., Johnson, C. C., & Koehler, C. M. (2012). What Is STEM? A Discussion About Conceptions of STEM in Education and Partnerships. *School Science and Mathematics*, 112(1), 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2011.00109.x>
- Burkholder, E. W., Miles, J. K., Layden, T. J., Wang, K. D., Fritz, A. V, & Wieman, C. E. (2020). Template for teaching and assessment of problem solving in introductory physics. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 16(1), 10123. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PHYSREVPHYSEDUCRES.16.010123>
- Bajracharya, R. R., & Thompson, J. R. (2016). Analytical derivation: An epistemic game for solving mathematically based physics problems. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 12(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010124>
- Bollen, L., De Cock, M., Zuza, K., Guisasola, J., & Van Kampen, P. (2016). Generalizing a categorization of students' interpretations of linear kinematics graphs. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 12(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010108>
- Buka, P. (2013). Promoting Academic Achievement through Positive Relationships. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 3(3), 323–328. <https://doi.org/10.5901/jesr.2013.v4n3p323>
- Bao, L. 2006. Theoretical Comparison of Average Normalized Gain Calculations. *American Journal of Physics*, 74 (10): 917-922.
- Bell, R. L., Smetana, L., & Binns, I. (2005). *Simplifying Inquiry Instruction*. October, 30–33.
- Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). Teaching for quality learning at university. What the student does (4th Edn.). In *Innovations in Education and Teaching International* (Vol. 50, Issue 4).
- Bybee, R. W. (2013). The Case for Education: STEM Challenges and Opportunities. *NSTA (National Science Teachers Association)*, 33–40. www.nsta.org/permissions.
- Chi, M. T. H. (2009). Active-Constructive-Interactive: A Conceptual Framework for Differentiating Learning Activities. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 1(1), 73–105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-8765.2008.01005.x>
- Chin, C., & Osborne, J. (2008). Students' questions: A potential resource for

- teaching and learning science. *Studies in Science Education*, 44(1), 1–39.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03057260701828101>
- Creswell, J & Clark, V.P. (2007). *Designing and Conducting Mix Methods Research*. United State America : Sage Publication.
- Capps, D. K., & Crawford, B. A. (2013). Inquiry-Based Instruction and Teaching About Nature of Science: Are They Happening? *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 24(3), 497–526. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9314-z>
- Chaiklin, S. (2014). *The Zone of Proximal Development in Vygotsky's Analysis of Learning and Instruction BT - Vygotsky's Educational Theory in Cultural Context*. Cambridge University Press.
- Contant, T. L., Bass, J. E., Tweed, A. A., & Carin, A. A. (2018). *Teaching Science Through Inquiry-Based Instruction* (13th ed.). Pearson.
- Crippen, K. J., & Archambault, L. (2012). Scaffolded Inquiry-Based Instruction with Technology: A Signature Pedagogy for STEM Education. *Computers in the Schools*, 29(1–2), 157–173.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07380569.2012.658733>
- Carl J. Wenning, E. . (2011). Experimental inquiry in introductory physics courses. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 6(2), 1–20.
[http://www2.phy.ilstu.edu/~wenning/jpteo/issues/jpteo6\(2\)sum11a.pdf](http://www2.phy.ilstu.edu/~wenning/jpteo/issues/jpteo6(2)sum11a.pdf)
- Ceberio, M., Almudí, J. M., & Franco, Á. (2016). Design and Application of Interactive Simulations in Problem-Solving in University-Level Physics Education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25(4), 590–609.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-016-9615-7>
- Chi, M.T.H., Lewis, M.W., Reimann, P., & G. (1989). ChiBassokLewisReimannGlaser.pdf. In *Cognitive Science* (Vol. 13, Issue 145, pp. 145–182). <https://asu.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/self-explanations-how-students-study-and-use-examples-in-learning>
- Christensen, W. M., & Thompson, J. R. (2012). Investigating graphical representations of slope and derivative without a physics context. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 8(2), 1–5.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.023101>
- Clement, J. J. (1982). Students_preconceptions_in_introduutory_mechanics. *American Journal of Physics*, 50(1), 10–66.

- Cochran, G. L., & Sabella, M. S. (2008). Understanding and encouraging effective collaboration in introductory physics courses. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1064, 95–98. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3021283>
- Crouch, C. H., & Mazur, E. (2001). Peer Instruction: Ten years of experience and results. *American Journal of Physics*, 69(9), 970–977. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1374249>
- Campbell, E. (2014). Constructivism in Practical Philosophy. *Philosophical Review*, 123(3), 374–377. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00318108-2683594>
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences Second Edition. In *Lawrence Erlbaum Associates*.
- Docktor, J. L., Dornfeld, J., Frodermann, E., Heller, K., Hsu, L., Jackson, K. A., Mason, A., Ryan, Q. X., & Yang, J. (2016). Assessing student written problem solutions: A problem-solving rubric with application to introductory physics. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 12(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010130>
- Docktor, J. L., & Mestre, J. P. (2014). Synthesis of discipline-based education research in physics. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 10(2), 1–58. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.10.020119>
- Docktor, J. L., Strand, N. E., Mestre, J. P., & Ross, B. H. (2015). Conceptual problem solving in high school physics. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 11(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.11.020106>
- Daniels, H. (2016). Vygotsky and Pedagogy. In *Vygotsky and Pedagogy*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315617602>
- Dawkins, H., Hedgeland, H., & Jordan, S. (2017). Impact of scaffolding and question structure on the gender gap. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 13(2), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.13.020117>
- Ding, L., Reay, N., Lee, A., & Bao, L. (2011). Exploring the role of conceptual scaffolding in solving synthesis problems. 020109, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.7.020109>
- Docktor, J., Heller, K., Docktor, J., & Heller, K. (2009). Assessment of Student Problem Solving Processes Assessment of Student Problem Solving Processes. 133. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3266696>

- Djaali & Muljono, P. 2008. Pengukuran Dalam Bidang Pendidikan. Jakarta : Grasindo.
- Daniels, H. (2001). *Vygotsky and Pedagogy*. Routledge.
- Deliyianni, E., Gagatsis, A., & Elia, I. (2016). Representational Flexibility and Problem-Solving Ability in Fraction and Decimal Number Addition : A Structural Model. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-015-9625-6>
- De Cock, M. (2012). Representation use and strategy choice in physics problem solving. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 8(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.020117>
- Duit, R., & Treagust, D. F. (2003). Conceptual change: A powerful framework for improving science teaching and learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(6), 671–688. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690305016>
- Eveline, E., Jumadi, Wilujeng, I., & Kuswanto, H. (2019). The Effect of Scaffolding Approach Assisted by PhET Simulation on Students' Conceptual Understanding and Students' Learning Independence in Physics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1233(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1233/1/012036>
- Elby, A., & Hammer, D. (2010). Epistemological resources and framing: a cognitive framework for helping teachers interpret and respond to their students' epistemologies. *Personal Epistemology in the Classroom: Theory, Research, and Implications for Practice*, 3, 409–434. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511691904.013>
- Etkina, E., Van Heuvelen, A., White-Brahmia, S., Brookes, D. T., Gentile, M., Murthy, S., Rosengrant, D., & Warren, A. (2006). Scientific abilities and their assessment. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 2(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.2.020103>
- Engelhardt, P. V., & Beichner, R. J. (2004). Students' understanding of direct current resistive electrical circuits. *American Journal of Physics*, 72(1), 98–115. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1614813>
- English, L. D. (2016). STEM education K-12: perspectives on integration. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 3(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-016-0036-1>
- Eisenhart, M., Weis, L., Allen, C. D., Cipollone, K., Stich, A., & Dominguez, R. (2015). High school opportunities for STEM: Comparing inclusive STEM-

- focused and comprehensive high schools in two US cities. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 52(6), 763–789. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21213>
- Etkina, E., Karelina, A., Murthy, S., & Ruibal-villasenor, M. (2009). Using action research to improve learning and formative assessment to conduct research. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 5(9), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.5.010109>
- Felder, R. M. (2021). STEM education: A tale of two paradigms. *Journal of Food Science Education*, 20(1), 8–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4329.12219>
- Fang, N. (2012). Students' Perceptions of Dynamics Concept Pairs and Correlation with Their Problem-Solving Performance. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 21(5), 571–580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-011-9347-7>
- Fergusonhessler, M. G. M., & Jong, T. De. (1987). On the quality of knowledge in the field of electricity and magnetism. *American Journal of Physics*, 55(6), 492–497. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.15101>
- Fraser, B. J. (2015). Classroom Learning Environments. In *Handbook of Research on Science Education, Volume II*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203097267.ch6>
- Febryani, K., & Kusumaningtyas, D. A. (2014). *SEMINAR NASIONAL HFI 2014 UAD. XVIII*, 43–46.
- Ferty, Z. N., Wilujeng, I., Jumadi, & Kuswanto, H. (2019). Enhancing Students' Critical Thinking Skills through Physics Education Technology Simulation Assisted of Scaffolding Approach. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1233(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1233/1/012062>
- Fyfe, E. R., McNeil, N. M., Son, J. Y., & Goldstone, R. L. (2014). Concreteness Fading in Mathematics and Science Instruction: A Systematic Review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 26(1), 9–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-014-9249-3>
- Gumisirizah, N., Muwonge, C. M., & Nzabahimana, J. (2024). Effect of problem-based learning on students' problem-solving ability to learn physics. *Physics Education*, 59(1), 0–11. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6552/ad0577>
- Gunawan, G., Suranti, N. M. Y., Nisrina, N., & Herayanti, L. (2018). Students' Problem-Solving Skill in Physics Teaching with Virtual Labs. *International Journal of Pedagogy and Teacher Education*, 2(July), 10.

<https://doi.org/10.20961/ijpte.v2i0.24952>

- Ge, X., & Land, S. M. (2004). A conceptual framework for scaffolding III-structured problem-solving processes using question prompts and peer interactions. In *Educational Technology Research and Development* (Vol. 52, Issue 2, pp. 5–22). <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02504836>
- Gomez Puente, S. . (2014). *Design-based learning : exploring an educational approach for engineering education* (Vol. 1, Issue 2014). <https://doi.org/10.6100/IR771111>
- Green, S. L. (2014). STEM education: How to train 21st century teachers. In *STEM Education: How to Train 21st Century Teachers*.
- Harlow, D. B. (2010). Structures and improvisation for inquiry-based science instruction: A teacher's adaptation of a model of magnetism activity. *Science Education*, 94(1), 142–163. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20348>
- Halliday, D., Resnick, R., & Walker, J. (2013). *Fundamentals of Physics Extended*, 10th Edition. In *Wiley*.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>
- Hattie, J. (2008). Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. In *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203887332>
- Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics*, 66(1), 64–74. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.18809>
- Hasanah, U., & Tsutaoka, T. (2019). An outline of worldwide barriers in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) education. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(2), 193–200. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v8i2.18350>
- Hegde, B., & Meera, B. N. (2012). How do they solve it? An insight into the learner's approach to the mechanism of physics problem solving. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 8(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.010109>

- Holmes, K., Gore, J., Smith, M., & Lloyd, A. (2018). An Integrated Analysis of School Students' Aspirations for STEM Careers: Which Student and School Factors Are Most Predictive? *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 16(4), 655–675. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-016-9793-z>
- Hasanah, U. (2020). Key Definitions of STEM Education: Literature Review. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 16(3), e2217. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ijese/8336>
- Hasnunidah, N., Susilo, H., Henie, M. I., & Sutomo, H. (2015). Argument-driven inquiry with scaffolding as the development strategies of argumentation and critical thinking skills of students in Lampung, Indonesia. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 3(9), 1195–1192. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-3-9-20>
- Hull, M. M., Kuo, E., Gupta, A., & Elby, A. (2013). Problem-solving rubrics revisited: Attending to the blending of informal conceptual and formal mathematical reasoning. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 9(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.9.010105>
- Hartikainen, S., Rintala, H., Pylväs, L., & Nokelainen, P. (2019). The concept of active learning and the measurement of learning outcomes: A review of research in engineering higher education. *Education Sciences*, 9(4), 9–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci9040276>
- Harlen, W. (2014). Helping children's development of inquiry skills. *Inquiry in Primary Science Education (IPSE)*, 1, 5–19.
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., & Chinn, C. A. (2007). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based and inquiry learning: A response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). *Educational Psychologist*, 42(2), 99–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520701263368>
- Ibrahim, B., & Rebello, N. S. (2012). Representational task formats and problem solving strategies in kinematics and work. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 8(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.010126>
- Ibrahim, B., & Rebello, N. S. (2013). Role of mental representations in problem solving: Students' approaches to nondirected tasks. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 9(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.9.020106>

- Ince, E. (2018). An Overview of Problem Solving Studies in Physics Education. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 7(4), 191. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v7n4p191>
- Ismail, I., Permanasari, A., & Setiawan, W. (2016). Stem virtual lab: An alternative practical media to enhance student's scientific literacy. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 5(2), 239–246. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v5i2.5492>
- Jang, H. (2016). Identifying 21st Century STEM Competencies Using Workplace Data. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25(2), 284–301. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-015-9593-1>
- Jonassen, D. (2011). Supporting Problem Solving in PBL. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 5(2), 9–27. <https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1256>
- Johnson, C. C. (2012). Implementation of STEM Education Policy: Challenges, Progress, and Lessons Learned. *School Science and Mathematics*, 112(1), 45–55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2011.00110.x>
- Jung, S. E., & Won, E. S. (2018). Systematic review of research trends in robotics education for young children. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(4), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10040905>
- Jesson, J.K., Matheson, L., & Lacey, F.M. Doing Your Literature Review (Traditional and Systematic Techniques). Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Joy Kistnasamy, E. (2014). The Power of Extrinsic Motivation in Tertiary Education. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 2(6), 383–388. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-2-6-9>
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. A. (2014). Cooperative Learning: Improving University Instruction by Basing Practice on Validated Theory. *Journal of Excellence in College Teaching*, 25, 85–118. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10180297>
- Jonassen, D. (2013). Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology. In *Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410609519>
- Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(2), 75–86.

https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4102_1

- Kyza, E. A., & Georgiou, Y. (2019). Scaffolding augmented reality inquiry learning: the design and investigation of the TraceReaders location-based, augmented reality platform. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 27(2), 211–225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2018.1458039>
- Kohl, P. B., & Finkelstein, N. D. (2005). Student representational competence and self-assessment when solving physics problems. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 1(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.1.010104>
- Kohl, P. B., & Finkelstein, N. D. (2006). Effects of representation on students solving physics problems: A fine-grained characterization. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 2(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.2.010106>
- Kohl, P. B., & Finkelstein, N. D. (2008). Patterns of multiple representation use by experts and novices during physics problem solving. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 4(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.4.010111>
- Kohl, P. B., Rosengrant, D., & Finkelstein, N. D. (2007). Erratum: Strongly and weakly directed approaches to teaching multiple representation use in physics (Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research (2007) vol. 3 (010108)). *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 3(2), 30144. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.3.029901>
- Kao, G. Y. M., Chiang, C. H., & Sun, C. T. (2017). Customizing scaffolds for game-based learning in physics: Impacts on knowledge acquisition and game design creativity. *Computers and Education*, 113, 294–312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.05.022>
- Kirschner, P. A. (2002). Cognitive load theory: Implications of cognitive load theory on the design of learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 12(1), 1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4752\(01\)00014-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4752(01)00014-7)
- Kadir, Lucyana, & Satriawati, G. (2017). The implementation of open-inquiry approach to improve students' learning activities, responses, and mathematical creative thinking skills. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 8(1), 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.8.1.3406.103-114>
- Kanim, S., & Cid, X. C. (2020). Demographics of physics education research. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 16(2), 20106.

<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.16.020106>

- Karakaya, F., & Avgin, S. S. (2016). Effect of demographic features to middle school students' attitude towards FeTeMM (STEM). *Journal of Human Sciences*, 13(3), 4188. <https://doi.org/10.14687/jhs.v13i3.4104>
- Kim, N. J., Belland, B. R., & Walker, A. E. (2018). Effectiveness of Computer-Based Scaffolding in the Context of Problem-Based Learning for Stem Education: Bayesian Meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30(2), 397–429. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-017-9419-1>
- Kock, Z. J., Taconis, R., Bolhuis, S., & Gravemeijer, K. (2014). Creating a Culture of Inquiry in the Classroom While Fostering an Understanding of Theoretical Concepts in Direct Current Electric Circuits: a Balanced Approach. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 13(1), 45–69. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-014-9535-z>
- Krenn, M., Kottmann, J. S., Tischler, N., & Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2021). Conceptual Understanding through Efficient Automated Design of Quantum Optical Experiments. *Physical Review X*, 11(3), 31044. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.031044>
- Kozulin, A. (2018). *Vygotsky's Psychology of Learning*. Springer.
- La Braca, F., & Kalman, C. S. (2021). Comparison of laboratorial and traditional labs: The impacts of instructional scaffolding on the student experience and conceptual understanding. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 17(1), 10131. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.17.010131>
- Leech, N. L., Barret, K. C., & Morgan, G. A. (2005). *SPSS for Intermediate Statistics: Use and Interpretation*. London : Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Lesh, R. (2003). Beyond Constructivism: Models and Modeling Perspectives on Mathematics Problem Solving, Learning, and Teaching. *ZDM: The International Journal of Mathematics Education*, 35(6), 325–329.
- Lin, K. Y., Wu, Y. T., Hsu, Y. T., & Williams, P. J. (2021). Effects of infusing the engineering design process into STEM project-based learning to develop preservice technology teachers' engineering design thinking. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 8(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00258-9>
- Lotter, C., Singer, J., & Godley, J. (2009). The influence of repeated teaching and

- reflection on preservice teachers' views of inquiry and nature of science. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 20(6), 553–582. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-009-9144-9>
- Liao, Y. (2018). *How to Manage Classroom in College Teaching*. 264(Icemaess), 513–517. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icemaess-18.2018.104>
- Long, C. S., Ibrahim, Z., & Kowang, T. O. (2014). An analysis on the relationship between lecturers competencies and students satisfaction. *International Education Studies*, 7(1), 37–46. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v7n1p37>
- Larsson, J., & Holmberg, J. (2022). Revisiting Constructivism in Science Education: Reflections on Learning and Teaching Practices. *Journal of Science Education*, 48(3), 212–227.
- Lindstrøm, C., & Sharma, M. D. (2009). Link maps and map meetings : Scaffolding student learning. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 5(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.5.010102>
- Lindstrøm, C., & Sharma, M. D. (2011). Teaching physics novices at university: A case for stronger scaffolding. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 7(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.7.010109>
- Learners, M. G., Expectations, M. H., Gerrold, D., Committee on Highly Successful Schools or Programs in K-12 STEM, & Education; National Research Council. (2011). Successful K-12 STEM Education: Identifying Effective Approaches in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Committee on Highly Successful Schools or Programs in K-12 STEM Education; National Research Council. In *Mathematics Education in the Middle Grades*. <https://www.ltrr.arizona.edu/~sheppard/TUSD/NRC2011.pdf>
- Li, Y., Wang, K., Xiao, Y., Froyd, J. E., & Nite, S. B. (2020). Research and trends in STEM education: a systematic analysis of publicly funded projects. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00213-8>
- Matthews, D. (2011). Book Review: How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School: Expanded Edition, edited by John D. Bransford, Ann L. Brown, and Rodney R. Cocking. *Gifted Children*, 1(1), 5. <http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/giftedchildren><http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/giftedchildren/vol1/iss1/5%0Ahttp://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1005&context=giftedchildren>

- Marschall, C., & French, R. (2018). *Concept-Based Inquiry in Action*. SAGE Publication.
- Martin-Hansen, L. (2018). Examining ways to meaningfully support students in STEM. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0150-3>
- Moll, L. C. (2014). *L.S. Vygotsky and Education*. Routledge.
- Mutakinati, L., Anwari, I., & Yoshisuke, K. (2018). Analysis of students' critical thinking skill of middle school through stem education project-based learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(1), 54–65. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v7i1.10495>
- Margot, K. C., & Kettler, T. (2019). Teachers' perception of STEM integration and education: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0151-2>
- Minner, D. D., Levy, A. J., & Century, J. (2010). Inquiry-based science instruction-what is it and does it matter? Results from a research synthesis years 1984 to 2002. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47(4), 474–496. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20347>
- Maries, A., Lin, S. Y., & Singh, C. (2017). Challenges in designing appropriate scaffolding to improve students' representational consistency: The case of a Gauss's law problem. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 13(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.13.020103>
- Mulyani, T. (2019). The Movement of STEM Education in Indonesia: Science Teachers' Perspectives. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(3), 453–460. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v8i3.19252>
- Morgan, G. A., Lecch, N. L., Gloecker, G. W., & Barret, K. C. (2004). *SPSS For Introductory Statistics: Use And Interpretasi*. London : Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Miles, M.B., Huberman, A.M., & Saldana, J. (2014). *Qualitative Data Analysis*. Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Martin, B., & Hanington, B. (2012). *Universal Methods of Design*. Beverly: Rockport Publishers.
- Mason, A. J., & Singh, C. (2016). Impact of Guided Reflection with Peers on the Development of Effective Problem Solving Strategies and Physics Learning.

- The Physics Teacher*, 54(5), 295–299. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.4947159>
- McPadden, D., & Brewe, E. (2017). Impact of the second semester University Modeling Instruction course on students' representation choices. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 13(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.13.020129>
- Meltzer, D. E. (2005). Relation between students' problem-solving performance and representational format. *American Journal of Physics*, 73(5), 463. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1862636>
- Mayer, R. E. (2004). Should There Be a Three-Strikes Rule against Pure Discovery Learning? The Case for Guided Methods of Instruction. *American Psychologist*, 59(1), 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.59.1.14>
- Miller, E. C., & Krajcik, J. S. (2019). Promoting deep learning through project-based learning: a design problem. *Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Science Education Research*, 1(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43031-019-0009-6>
- Mason, A., & Singh, C. (2016). Using categorization of problems as an instructional tool to help introductory students learn physics. *Physics Education*, 51(2), 25009. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9120/51/2/025009>
- Nguyen, C. T. (2012). The Roles of Teachers in Fostering Autonomous Learning at the University Level. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 47(1987), 605–609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.703>
- Nguyen, D. H., & Rebello, N. S. (2011). Students' difficulties with integration in electricity. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 7(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.7.010113>
- Nieminen, P., Savinainen, A., & Viiri, J. (2012). Relations between representational consistency, conceptual understanding of the force concept, and scientific reasoning. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 8(1), 010123. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.010123>
- Ngabekti, S., Prasetyo, A. P. B., Hardianti, R. D., & Teampanpong, J. (2019). The development of stem mobile learning package ecosystem. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(1), 81–88. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v8i1.16905>
- Niss, M. (2017). Obstacles Related to Structuring for Mathematization Encountered by Students when Solving Physics Problems. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 15(8), 1441–1462. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-016-9754-6>

- Nivalainen, V., Asikainen, M. A., & Hirvonen, P. E. (2013a). Open Guided Inquiry Laboratory in Physics Teacher Education. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 24(3), 449–474. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9316-x>
- Noh, A. M., & Khairani, A. Z. (2020). Validating the S-stem among malaysian pre-university students. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9(3), 421–429. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v9i3.24109>
- Nolen, S. B. (2021). Student Agency and Knowledge Construction in Modern Classrooms. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33(4), 1137–1152.
- Nugroho, O. F., Permanasari, A., & Firman, H. (2019). The movement of stem education in Indonesia: Science teachers' perspectives. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(3), 417–425. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v8i3.19252>
- Nurulsari, N., Abdurrahman, & Suyatna, A. (2017). Development of soft scaffolding strategy to improve student's creative thinking ability in physics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 909(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/909/1/012053>
- Ogilvie, C. A. (2009). Changes in students' problem-solving strategies in a course that includes context-rich, multifaceted problems. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 5(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.5.020102>
- Oliver, R. M., Reschly, D., Wehby, J., & Lipsey, M. (2009). PROTOCOL: The effectiveness of teachers' universal classroom management practices on disruptive student behavior. *Campbell Systematic Reviews*, 5(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.60>
- Owen, S., Dickson, D., Stanisstreet, M., & Boyes, E. (2008). Teaching physics: Students' attitudes towards different learning activities. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 26(2), 113–128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635140802036734>
- Pulgar, J., Ramírez, D., Umanzor, A., Candia, C., & Sánchez, I. (2022). Long-term collaboration with strong friendship ties improves academic performance in remote and hybrid teaching modalities in high school physics. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 18(1), 10146. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.18.010146>
- Pedaste, M., Mäeots, M., Siiman, L. A., de Jong, T., van Riesen, S. A. N., Kamp, E. T., Manoli, C. C., Zacharia, Z. C., & Tsourlidaki, E. (2015). Phases of inquiry-

- based learning: Definitions and the inquiry cycle. *Educational Research Review*, 14, 47–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.02.003>
- Pizzolato, N., Fazio, C., Sperandio Mineo, R. M., & Persano Adorno, D. (2014). Open-inquiry driven overcoming of epistemological difficulties in engineering undergraduates: A case study in the context of thermal science. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 10(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.10.010107>
- Prince, M. J., & Felder, R. M. (2006). Inductive teaching and learning methods: Definitions, comparisons, and research bases. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 95(2), 123–138. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2006.tb00884.x>
- Persano Adorno, D., Pizzolato, N., & Fazio, C. (2018). Long term stability of learning outcomes in undergraduates after an open-inquiry instruction on thermal science. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 14(1), 10108. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.14.010108>
- Piaget, J. (1971). *The Theory of Stages in Cognitive Development BT - Measurement and Piaget* (D. R. Green, M. P. Ford, & G. B. Flamer (eds.)). McGraw-Hill.
- Pol, J. Van De, Volman, M., & Beishuizen, J. (2011). Patterns of contingent teaching in teacher-student interaction. *Learning and Instruction*, 21(1), 46–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.10.004>
- Pritchard, A., & Woollard, J. (2010). Psychology for and Social Constructivism the Classroom: Learning. In *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* (first). Routledge.
- Pedersen, M. K., Skyum, B., Heck, R., Müller, R., Bason, M., Lieberoth, A., & Sherson, J. F. (2016). Virtual learning environment for interactive engagement with advanced quantum mechanics. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 12(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.013102>
- Puntambekar, S., & Hubscher, R. (2010). Tools for Scaffolding Students in a Complex Learning Environment : What Have We Gained and What Have We Missed ? *Educational Psychologist*, 40(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4001_1
- Quinn, H., Schweingruber, H., Keller, T., Framework, C., Science, N. K., &

- Standards, E. (2012). A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. In *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/13165>
- Rahmat, I., & Chanunan, S. (2018). Open Inquiry in Facilitating Metacognitive Skills on High School Biology Learning: An Inquiry on Low and High Academic Ability Irwandi. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(4), 593–606.
- Redish, E. F. (2005). Changing student ways of knowing: What should our students learn in a physics class? *Physics*, 1–13.
- Rebello, C. M., & Rebello, N. S. (2013). Transfer of argumentation skills in conceptual physics problem solving. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1513, 322–325. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4789717>
- Rosengrant, D., Van Heuvelen, A., & Etkina, E. (2009). Do students use and understand free-body diagrams? *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 5(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.5.010108>
- Ryan, Q. X., Frodermann, E., Heller, K., Hsu, L., & Mason, A. (2016). Computer problem-solving coaches for introductory physics: Design and usability studies. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 010105, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010105>
- Ramnarain, U., & Beer, J. De. (2013). *Science Students Creating Hybrid Spaces when Engaging in an Expo Investigation Project*. 99–116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-011-9246-1>
- Reiser, B. J. (2018). Scaffolding Complex Learning: The Mechanisms of Structuring and Problematising Student Work. In *Scaffolding: A special issue of the journal of the learning sciences* (Vol. 13, Issue 3, pp. 273–304). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203764411-2>
- Robnett, R. D., & Leaper, C. (2013). Friendship Groups, Personal Motivation, and Gender in Relation to High School Students' STEM Career Interest. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 23(4), 652–664. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12013>
- Rusdi. (2020). *Penelitian Perlakuan Kependidikan*. Depok: Rajawali Pers

- Rusdi. (2018). *Penelitian Desain dan Pengembangan Kependidikan (Konsep, Prosedur dan Sintesis Pengetahuan Baru)*. Depok: Rajawali Pers
- Rahmawati, I., Sutopo, S., & Zulaikah, S. (2017). Analysis of students' difficulties about rotational dynamics based on resource theory. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(1), 95–102. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v6i1.9514>
- Riantoni, C., Yuliati, L., Mufti, N., & Nehru, N. (2017). Problem solving approach in electrical energy and power on students as physics teacher candidates. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(1), 55–62. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v6i1.8293>
- Sabella, M. S., & Redish, E. F. (2007). Knowledge organization and activation in physics problem solving. *American Journal of Physics*, 75(11), 1017–1029. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.2746359>
- Stanny, C. J. (2016). Reevaluating bloom's taxonomy: What measurable verbs can and cannot say about student learning. *Education Sciences*, 6(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci6040037>
- Savelsbergh et al. (2011). Choosing the right solution approach : The crucial role of situational knowledge in electricity and magnetism. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 7(010103), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.7.010103>
- Setyowidodo, I., Jatmiko, B., Susantini, E., Handayani, A. D., & Pramesti, Y. S. (2020). The role of science project based peer interaction on improving collaborative skills and physical problem solving: A mini review. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1521(2). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1521/2/022032>
- Sutopo., Liliasari., Waldrip, B., & Rusdiana, D. (2012). Impact of Representational Approach on the Improvement of Students Understanding of Acceleration. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 8 (2012) : 161-173.
- Sutopo. (2016). Pemahaman Mahasiswa Tentang Konsep-konsep Dasar Gelombang Mekanik. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 12 (1) : 41-53.
- Sadeh, I., & Zion, M. (2012). Which Type of Inquiry Project Do High School Biology Students Prefer: Open or Guided? *Research in Science Education*, 42(5), 831–848. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-011-9222-9>
- Safadi, R., & Yerushalmi, E. (2014). Problem solving vs. troubleshooting tasks: the case of sixth-grade students studying simple electric circuits. *International*

- Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 12(6), 1341–1366.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-013-9461-5>
- Smagorinsky, P. (2018). *Vygotsky and Literacy Research: A Methodological Framework*. Sense Publishers.
- Smithenry, D. W. (2010). Integrating guided inquiry into a traditional chemistry curricular framework. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(13), 1689–1714. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690903150617>
- Srisawasdi, N., & Kroothkeaw, S. (2014). Supporting students' conceptual development of light refraction by simulation-based open inquiry with dual-situated learning model. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 1(1), 49–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-014-0005-y>
- Suci, Y. T. (2018). Menelaah Teori Vygotsky Dan Interpedagogis Sosial Sebagai Landasan Teori Dalam Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran Kooperatif Di Sekolah Dasar. *NATURALISTIC: Jurnal Kajian Penelitian Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, 3(1), 231–239. <https://doi.org/10.35568/naturalistic.v3i1.269>
- Susilowati, E., Mayasari, T., Winarno, N., Rusdiana, D., & Kaniawati, I. (2019). Scaffolding learning model to improve habits of mind students. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1280(5). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1280/5/052064>
- Sabo, H. C., Goodhew, L. M., & Robertson, A. D. (2016). University student conceptual resources for understanding energy. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.12.010126>
- Sari, M., Andra, D., Distrik, I. W., & Aleksandervic, K. S. (2022). Problem-Based E-Module Integrated with STEM and Assisted by LMS to Foster Creative Thinking Ability. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 5(2), 224–237. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ijsme.v5i2.13087>
- Shaffer, P. S., & McDermott, L. C. (2005). A research-based approach to improving student understanding of the vector nature of kinematical concepts. *American Journal of Physics*, 73(2005), 921. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.2000976>
- Sumarni, W., & Kadarwati, S. (2020). Ethno-stem project-based learning: Its impact to critical and creative thinking skills. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9(1), 11–21. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v9i1.21754>

- Supriyati, Y., Sati, D., & Yudha, R. P. (2022). A Bibliometric Analysis of Research Trends in the Scientific Approach to Physics Learning. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2377(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2377/1/012075>
- Sherin, B. L. (2001). How Students Understand Physics Equations. *Cognition and Instruction*, 19(4), 479–541. https://doi.org/10.1207/S1532690XCI1904_3
- Sharma, M., & Chawla, S. (2014). Designing Constructivist Learning Environments Using a Concept Browser. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 5, 2277–3878. www.ijrte.org
- Serway RA & Jewett JW. (2004). Physics for Scientists and Engineers , Vol . I. In Thomson Brooks/Cole. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.10359>
- Strat, T. T. S., Henriksen, E. K., & Jegstad, K. M. (2024). Inquiry-based science education in science teacher education: a systematic review. *Studies in Science Education*, 60(2), 191–249. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2023.2207148>
- Stoen, S. M., McDaniel, M. A., Frey, R. F., Hynes, K. M., & Cahill, M. J. (2020). Force concept inventory: More than just conceptual understanding. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 16(1), 10105. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.16.010105>
- Triplett, W. J. (2023). Impact of Technology Integration in STEM Education. *Cybersecurity and Innovative Technology Journal*, 1(1), 16–22. <https://doi.org/10.53889/citj.v1i1.295>
- Tientongdee, S. (2018). Development of problem-solving skill by using active learning for student teachers in Introductory Physics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1144(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1144/1/012002>
- Tomlinson, C. A., Brighton, C., Hertberg, H., Callahan, C. M., Moon, T. R., Brimijoin, K., Conover, L. A., & Reynolds, T. (2003). Differentiating instruction in response to student readiness, interest, and learning profile in academically diverse classrooms: A review of literature. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*, 27(2–3), 119–145. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016235320302700203>
- Tekbıyık, A., Baran Bulut, D., & Sandalcı, Y. (2022). Effects of a summer robotics camp on students STEM career interest and knowledge structure. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, 6(2), 91–109. <https://doi.org/10.33902/jpr.202212606>
- Tekerek, B., & Karakaya, F. (2018). STEM Education Awareness of Pre-service

- Science Teachers. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching*, 5(2), 348–359. <http://www.iojet.org/index.php/IOJET/article/view/310>
- Tuminaro, J., & Redish, E. F. (2007). Elements of a cognitive model of physics problem solving: Epistemic games. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 3(2), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.3.020101>
- Taylor, P. C. (2014). Encyclopedia of Science Education. *Encyclopedia of Science Education*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6165-0>
- Tecson, C. M. B., Salic-Hairulla, M. A., & Soleria, H. J. B. (2021). Design of a 7E model inquiry-based STEM (iSTEM) lesson on digestive system for Grade 8: An open-inquiry approach. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1835(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1835/1/012034>
- Tuada, R. N., Kuswanto, H., Saputra, A. T., & Aji, S. H. (2020). Physics mobile learning with scaffolding approach in simple harmonic motion to improve student learning independence. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1440(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1440/1/012043>
- Tupas, F. P., & Matsuura, T. (2019). Moving forward in stem education, challenges and innovations in senior high school in the Philippines: The case of Northern Iloilo polytechnic state college. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(3), 407–416. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v8i3.19707>
- Utami, L. P. (2016). Teori Konstruktivisme dan Teori Sosiokultural: Aplikasi dalam Pengajaran Bahasa Inggris. *Prasi*, 11(01), 4–11. <https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/PRASI/article/download/10964/7022>
- Utami, T., Jumadi, Wilujeng, I., & Kuswanto, H. (2019). Subject Specific Pedagogy Development with Scaffolding Approach Assisted by PhET Simulation on Momentum Conservation Law to Improve Students' Conceptual Understanding and Learning Independence. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1233(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1233/1/012066>
- Van de Pol, J., Volman, M., & Beishuizen, J. (2012). Promoting teacher scaffolding in small-group work: A contingency perspective. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 28(2), 193–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2011.09.009>

- Van Der Stuyf, R. R. (2002). Scaffolding as a Teaching Strategy – Definition and Description. In *Adolescent Learning and Development* (pp. 1–13).
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
- van der Valk, T., & de Jong, O. (2009). Scaffolding science teachers in open-inquiry teaching. *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(6), 829–850. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690802287155>
- van Harmelen, M. (2008). Design trajectories: Four experiments in PLE implementation. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 16(1), 35–46. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820701772686>
- van Geel, M., Keuning, T., & Safar, I. (2022). How teachers develop skills for implementing differentiated instruction: Helpful and hindering factors. *Teaching and Teacher Education: Leadership and Professional Development*, 1(June), 100007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tatelp.2022.100007>
- Vlassi, M., & Karaliota, A. (2013). The comparison between guided inquiry and traditional teaching method . A case study for the teaching of the structure of matter to 8th grade Greek students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 93, 494–497. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.09.226>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
- van de Pol, J., Volman, M., & Beishuizen, J. (2010). Scaffolding in teacher-student interaction: A decade of research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(3), 271–296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-010-9127-6>
- Wieman, C. E., Adams, W. K., & Perkins, K. K. (2008). Physics. PhET: Simulations that enhance learning. In *Science* (Vol. 322, Issue 5902, pp. 682–683). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1161948>
- Walsh, L. N., Howard, R. G., & Bowe, B. (2007). Phenomenographic study of students' problem solving approaches in physics. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 3(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.3.020108>
- Wenning, C. J. (2010). The Levels of Inquiry Model of Science Teaching Wenning (2010) for explications of real-world applications component of the Inquiry Spectrum.) A Levels of Inquiry Redux. In *J. Phys. Tchr. Educ. Online* (Vol. 6,

Issue 2).

- Wolf, M., & Laferriere, A. (2009). Crawl into Inquiry-Based Learning. *Science Activities: Classroom Projects and Curriculum Ideas*, 46(3), 32–38. <https://doi.org/10.3200/sats.46.3.32-38>
- Wardani, A. D., Gunawan, I., Kusumaningrum, D. E., Benty, D. D. N., Sumarsono, R. B., Nurabadi, A., & Handayani, L. (2020). *Student Learning Motivation: A Conceptual Paper*. 487(Ecpe), 275–278. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201112.049>
- Wolf, S. F., Dougherty, D. P., & Kortemeyer, G. (2012). Rigging the deck: Selecting good problems for expert-novice card-sorting experiments. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 8(2), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.8.020116>
- Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). THE ROLE OF TUTORING IN PROBLEM SOLVING. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 17(2), 89–100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1976.tb00381.x>
- Wilson, L. O. (2016). Anderson and Krathwohl Bloom's Taxonomy Revised Understanding the New Version of Bloom's Taxonomy. *The Second Principle*, 1(1), 1–8.
- Xu, W., & Ouyang, F. (2022). The application of AI technologies in STEM education: a systematic review from 2011 to 2021. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-022-00377-5>
- Yerushalmi, E., Cohen, E., Heller, K., Heller, P., & Henderson, C. (2010). Instructors' reasons for choosing problem features in a calculus-based introductory physics course. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 6(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.6.020108>
- Yoon, H. G., Joung, Y. J., & Kim, M. (2012). The challenges of science inquiry teaching for pre-service teachers in elementary classrooms: Difficulties on and under the scene. *Research in Science Education*, 42(3), 589–608. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-011-9212-y>
- Yuliati, L., Riantoni, C., & Mufti, N. (2018). Problem solving skills on direct current electricity through inquiry-based learning with PhET simulations. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(4), 123–138. <https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2018.1149a>

- Zuckerman, G. A., Chudinova, E. V., & Khavkin, E. E. (2009). Inquiry as a pivotal element of knowledge acquisition within the Vygotskian paradigm: Building a science curriculum for the elementary school. *Cognition and Instruction*, 16(2), 201–233. https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xci1602_3
- Zaki, N. A. A., Zain, N. Z. M., Noor, N. A. Z. M., & Hashim, H. (2020). Developing a conceptual model of learning analytics in serious games for stem education. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 9(3), 330–339. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v9i3.24466>
- Zaniewski, A. M., & Reinholz, D. (2016). Increasing STEM success: a near-peer mentoring program in the physical sciences. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-016-0043-2>
- Zion, M., & Mendelovici, R. (2012). *Moving from structured to open inquiry : Challenges and limits*. 23(4), 383–399.
- Zohar, A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students' knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(1), 35–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.10008>